

**DMC Data Entry Section - 2007
Population at Risk (Age 10-17)**

Area Reported

State: Utah
County: Statewide

Reporting Period: Jul-06
through: Jun-07

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Pacific Islander	Native American	Other/Mix ed	All Minorities
1	Beaver Co	916	792	1	95	10	1	16	1	124
2	Box Elder County	6425	5747	42	511	64	11	48	2	678
3	Carbon County	2335	1996	17	257	15	3	47	0	339
4	Cache County	12152	10322	111	1387	179	65	81	7	1830
5	Daggett County	97	90	0	4	0	0	3	0	7
6	Davis County	38115	32832	553	2874	657	322	330	547	5283
7	Duchesne County	2482	2155	6	74	9	5	233	0	327
8	Emery County	1311	1215	7	66	12	2	9	0	96
9	Garfield County	557	501	3	28	2	3	20	0	56
10	Grand County	906	743	4	87	7	1	63	1	163
11	Iron County	5123	4435	43	396	39	29	169	12	688
12	Juab County	1457	1375	8	49	9	4	12	0	82
13	Kane County	718	672	0	30	1	0	15	0	46
14	Millard County	1825	1538	6	235	19	3	23	1	287
15	Morgan County	1353	1311	3	27	6	3	3	0	42
16	Piute County	200	180	2	17	0	1	0	0	20

DMC Data Entry Section - 2007 Population at Risk (Age 10-17)

Area Reported

State: Utah
County: Statewide

Reporting Period: Jul-06
through: Jun-07

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Pacific Islander	Native American	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
17	Rich County	267	264	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
18	Salt Lake County	106441	77509	2102	19532	2965	2848	1215	270	28932
19	San Juan County	1772	727	4	37	0	5	999	0	1045
20	Sanpete County	3104	2711	15	298	15	29	34	2	393
21	Sevier County	2698	2440	8	86	5	5	154	0	258
22	Summit County	4174	3718	24	372	39	8	10	3	456
23	Tooele County	7186	6059	93	807	47	61	119	0	1127
24	Uintah County	3357	2878	13	131	14	12	308	1	479
25	Utah County	58979	50502	429	6197	695	697	394	65	8477
26	Wasatch County	2651	2285	14	314	13	12	13	0	366
27	Washington County	14516	12190	109	1515	101	248	256	97	2326
28	Wayne County	319	304	2	2	4	2	5	0	15
29	Weber County	24966	18936	498	4746	370	136	211	69	6030
Total		306402	246427	4117	40177	5297	4516	4790	1078	59975
Percentage		100.00%	80.43%	1.34%	13.11%	1.73%	1.47%	1.56%	0.35%	19.57%
Non-Wasatch		77901	66648	535	6828	610	513	2640	127	11253
Percentage		25.42%	85.55%	0.69%	8.76%	0.78%	0.66%	3.39%	0.16%	14.45%

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Statewide

Reporting Period July / **2006**
through June / **2007**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	305,324	246,427	4,117	40,177	5,297	4,516	4,790		58,897
2. Juvenile Arrests	40,005	33,708	757	3,535	1,113		892		6,297
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	36,366	23,988	1,193	9,037	420	678	1,050		12,378
4. Cases Diverted	8,268	5,734	199	1,908	111	185	131		2,534
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	8,832	5,409	409	2,385	89	121	419		3,423
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	28,065	18,232	994	7,124	309	492	914		9,833
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	26,017	16,998	901	6,529	280	442	867		9,019
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	3,973	2,433	170	1,128	61	59	122		1,540
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	275	150	12	97	2	7	7		125
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	52	15	6	24	0	6	1		37
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2007 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2006 - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2006
through June / 2007

State :Utah
County: Statewide

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.34	0.64	1.54	**	1.36	*	0.78
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.98	2.31	0.81	**	2.25	*	2.16
4. Cases Diverted	0.70	0.88	1.11	1.14	0.52	*	0.86
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.52	1.17	0.94	0.79	1.77	*	1.23
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	1.04	0.97	0.95	1.15	*	1.05
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.97	0.98	0.97	0.96	1.02	*	0.98
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.32	1.21	1.52	0.93	0.98	*	1.19
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.51	1.68	**	1.79	0.91	*	1.57
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	7.34	4.09	**	14.82	**	*	4.57
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:
 Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

Reporting Period July / **2006**
through June / **2007**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	106,171	77,509	2,102	19,532	2,965	2,848	1,215		28,662
2. Juvenile Arrests	14,390	10,943	443	1,953	810		241		3,447
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	12,537	7,226	634	3,733	239	448	257		5,311
4. Cases Diverted	3,880	2,434	137	1,051	75	137	46		1,446
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3,054	1,654	201	971	60	73	95		1,400
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8,688	4,791	497	2,682	164	310	244		3,897
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7,810	4,371	432	2,395	143	278	191		3,439
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,371	711	84	463	35	43	35		660
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	75	36	2	28	0	6	3		39
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	30	8	2	16	0	4	0		22
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2007 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2006 - see Appendix B for Methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2006
through June / 2007

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.49	0.71	1.93	**	1.40	*	0.85
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.25	2.05	0.86	**	2.27	*	1.99
4. Cases Diverted	0.64	0.84	0.93	0.91	0.53	*	0.81
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.39	1.14	1.10	0.71	1.61	*	1.15
6. Cases Petitioned	1.18	1.08	1.03	1.04	1.43	*	1.11
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.98	0.86	*	0.97
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.20	1.19	1.50	0.95	1.13	*	1.18
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.42	**	2.62	**	*	1.38
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	3.57	**	**	**	*	3.38
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Utah County

Reporting Period July / **2006**
through June / **2007**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	58,914	50,502	429	6,197	695	697	394		8,412
2. Juvenile Arrests	6,812	6,327	34	346	61		44		485
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5,354	3,859	95	1,204	39	99	58		1,495
4. Cases Diverted	1,448	1,135	20	253	11	20	9		313
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	960	662	17	251	3	16	11		298
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,906	2,724	75	951	28	79	49		1,182
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,438	2,414	69	814	26	71	44		1,024
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	310	227	3	71	2	4	3		83
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	30	18	0	11	0	0	1		12
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0		0

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2007 School Enrollment - See Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2006 - See Appendix B for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2006
through June / 2007

State :Utah

County: Utah County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	0.45	0.70	**	*	*	0.46
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	2.54	0.73	**	*	*	2.33
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.71	0.96	0.69	*	*	0.71
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.22	**	0.94	*	*	1.16
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.12	1.02	1.13	*	*	1.12
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	0.97	**	1.01	*	*	0.98
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	0.93	**	**	*	*	0.86
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	1.81	**	**	*	*	1.57
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	**	**	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Weber County

Reporting Period July / **2006**
through June / **2007**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	24,897	18,936	498	4,746	370	136	211		5,961
2. Juvenile Arrests	3,946	3,523	144	188	54		37		423
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4,456	2,603	215	1,543	25	18	52		1,853
4. Cases Diverted	623	399	14	202	2	3	3		224
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,344	707	88	511	3	7	28		637
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,833	2,204	201	1,341	23	15	49		1,629
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,656	2,097	192	1,287	21	14	45		1,559
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	602	324	38	225	1	6	8		278
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	64	19	7	36	0	0	2		45
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	4	0	4	0	0	0	0		4
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2007 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2006 - See Appendix B for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2006
through June / 2007

State :Utah

County: Weber County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.55	0.21	0.78	*	*	*	0.38
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.14	2.37	0.49	*	*	*	2.26
4. Cases Diverted	0.42	0.85	**	*	*	*	0.79
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.51	1.22	**	*	*	*	1.27
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	1.03	**	*	*	*	1.04
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.00	1.01	**	*	*	*	1.01
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.28	1.13	**	*	*	*	1.15
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	4.02	3.09	**	*	*	*	3.19
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

Reporting Period July / **2006**
through June / **2007**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	77,774	66,648	535	6,828	610	513	2,640		11,126
2. Juvenile Arrests	10,384	9,011	61	664	90		558		1,373
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	10,572	7,696	119	1,964	79	82	632		2,876
4. Cases Diverted	1,705	1,305	12	294	10	19	65		400
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,667	1,801	52	506	19	21	268		866
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8,836	6,370	107	1,665	69	63	562		2,466
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	8,400	6,055	104	1,572	66	56	547		2,345
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,215	823	15	282	19	4	72		392
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	87	67	2	14	2	1	1		20
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	11	1	0	7	0	2	1		10
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2007 School Enrollment - See Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2006 - See Appendix B for Methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2006
through June / 2007

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	0.72	*	*	1.56	*	0.91
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	2.49	*	*	2.07	*	2.24
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.88	*	*	0.61	*	0.82
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.10	*	*	1.81	*	1.29
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.02	*	*	1.07	*	1.04
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	0.99	*	*	1.02	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.32	*	*	0.97	*	1.23
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	0.80	*	*	**	*	0.77
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

Appendix A

FY2007 DMC RRI Data Sources and Notes

1. Population at risk (age 10-17)

Data Source: Randy Raphael of Utah Board of Education, Statistic Department

Utah Population - Youth At Risk (Age 10-17) data were provided by Randy Raphael of Utah Board of Education, Statistic Department. Utah Population are as of October 2007 school enrollment. Data were generated for this report on February 20th, 2008. The data does not include an estimate of the 3% private school youth and the 1% home schooled youth. The data does, however, include charter schools.

2. Arrest

Data Source: Della I. Riquelme of Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification

The most recent juvenile arrest rates data available was calendar year 2006 BCI data. Asian and Pacific Islander rates are combined in this dataset. Hispanic rates were subtracted from the White racial category. This assumes all those of Hispanic origin noted their race as White. No "Other/Mixed" Race category was tracked. There were no report of arrest data for Garfield County. The total youth arrested includes 0-9 years old, which consist of 2.98% of the total youth population age 0-17.

3. Referral

Data sources for Referral (#3) to Transferred to Adult Court (#10) were compiled from the CARE database implemented by the juvenile court system and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). All points of contact from CARE data were aggregated on INTAKE DATE (for an "episode-based" analysis), except Detention which was calculated by in/out dates in location assignment to locked detention. Fiscal Year was selected based on INTAKE DATE. FY07 was comprised of INTAKE DATES between 7/1/06 and 6/30/07.

Race/Ethnicity information was missing for 8.4% of statewide CARE data.¹ In order to create the categories in the DMC tables, Race and Ethnicity were combined. Youth who were identified as "Latino/Hispanic" in Ethnicity and "White" in Race were flagged as "Hispanic" for the DMC

¹ For FY07: 8.4% statewide, 6.0% for Salt Lake County, 5.5% Weber County, 13.7% Davis County, 5.5% Utah County, 11.9% Non-Wasatch Front Counties

² Of youth in FY06 through FY09 CARE data

tables. All other categories came from the number of youth reported in the Race groupings. Less than .01%² reported multiple minority Races and were coded into their first listed race.

Referral to the Court included all INTAKE DECISION codes, *except* the following (which are considered non-delinquency cases or internal court action):

AWE	Adult Warrant Executed
CAD	Case Accepted Another District
CW ONLY	Child Welfare
DTH	Detention Hearing
EEP	Education Enhancement Program
Exparte	Exparte Order
EXX	Warrant Executed
FIL	See File for intake action
FOR	Forwarded to another juvenile court
ICJ	Interstate Compact
IPN	ICJ-Ut Probation Not Accepted
LRE	
REV	Review Hearing Set
SHH	Shelter Hearing
TRH	Transient Returned to Home
VAC	Warrant Vacated
VAW	Adult Warrant Vacated
VOM	Closure for VOMP
Warrant	Warrant
YPA	Youth Parole Authority

4. Diversion

Diversion from the court included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

Citation	Citation (include CT1 & CT2 in older FY)
FRM	Form Letter Sent to Parents
NJ	Non-Judicial (include all NJ+letter in older FY)
NOA	No Action Taken by Intake
NOF	No Action Taken After Contact
NRS	Alternative Referral Services
OTH	Other Non-Petition Action
INS	Insufficient Facts to Justify*
LOC	Unable to Locate the Referred*
NOJ	No Jurisdiction to Proceed*

PCA	Petition Denied by Prosecutor*
REF	Referred to Another Agency*
RET	Returned to Referral Source*

*These codes were flagged as a separate type of “Dismissal” Diversion for separate internal DMC analyses. They are a small minority of the Diversion cases.

Diversion was also flagged by the new NJIntakeDecision field in CARE. However, there was some discrepancy between cases that showed Diversion in the NJIntakeDecision field and in the INTAKE DECISION field, due to cases perhaps being originally Diverted, but later Petitioned due to lack of compliance. In these cases, only the later court action (Petitioned) was counted for RRI purposes. However, the NJIntakeDecision Diversion flag has been retained for internal analyses of DMC for Diversion.

5. Detention

Detention was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to locked detention were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within detention (e.g., a youth enters detention on 7/1/08, appears to be released on 7/3/08, but re-enters on 7/3/08 and exits again on 7/9/08 – in this instance, only 1 detention placement would be counted).

6. Petitioned/Charges Filed

Petitioned included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

CIT	Citable Charge Set for Hearing^
INF	Information Filed
MOT	Motion Filed
PET	Petition (include PT1, PT2, & PT3 in older FY)
PETREFIL	Petition Refile
OSC	Order to Show Cause*

^CIT are citable (Divertable) charges, but they have been set for a hearing with a judge for whatever reason; therefore, they do not qualify as a Diversion for RRI purposes

*OSC’s have also been flagged as their own category for separate internal DMC analyses.

7. Delinquent Findings

Delinquent Findings were all DISPOSITION codes in CARE, *except* the following (which are considered dismissals/not guilty, or cases that were processed non-judicially (counted in Diversion)):

DIS	Dismissed
DPB	Dismissed Plea Bargain
DWP	Dismissed Without Prejudice
NJ_	NJ+letter codes that indicate Non-Judicial processing

It should be noted that data were aggregated on Intake Date, so if one charge in that group had one of the previous Disposition Codes, but other charge(s) in the group did not, that episode would be flagged as Delinquent (although some charged within that episode may have been dismissed).

ADJUDICATION DATE in CARE was also examined for overlap with DISPOSITION codes of delinquency.

8. Probation

Probation included the following DISPOSITION codes:

PRO	Probation by probation division
YCP	JJS Community Based placement*
PSS	Probation State Supervision^
PWC	Probation Work Camp^
YSS	DJJ State Supervision ^
GSS	DCFS State Supervision ^

*YCP was included in OJJDP reporting as it is a form of supervision. Separate probation from probation codes vs. JJS Community Based placement flags were created for separate internal DMC analyses.

^Other specialty probation codes (e.g., State Supervision) were usually accompanied by the standard probation (PRO) code. However, when they were not, these codes were still included in the count of probation.

9. Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities

Secure Care included the following DISPOSITIONS:

YSF	Commitment to YC secure facility
-----	----------------------------------

10. Transferred to Adult Court

Transfer to Adult Court included the following DISPOSITIONS:

BOD	Bound over to district court
OCT	Certified to adult court

Age at incident that resulted in BOD or OCT DISPOSITIONS was examined and determined to be 18 or younger to be included in the RRI count.