

Applicant: _____ Title: _____

Reviewer: _____

2005 State Grant Review Criteria and Scoring Sheet

<p>Section 2: Agency Description (10%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Demonstrates knowledge of and experience in crime and/or gang strategies. b. Past or current efforts have been collaborative in nature. 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>0</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>X</th><th>Total</th><th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td style="background-color: #cccccc;">2</td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments							2		
0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments											
						2													
<p>Section 3: Problem Statement (20%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clearly describes problem to be addressed. b. Thoroughly documents the problem with data and statistics from the past three years. c. The target population is clearly defined and similar services for this population are currently lacking. d. The project addresses one of the priority areas for funding. 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>0</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>X</th><th>Total</th><th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td style="background-color: #cccccc;">4</td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments							4		
0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments											
						4													
<p>Section 4: Project Operations and Activities (25%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Outlines clear and convincing plan to address the problem. b. Cites relevant research to show efficacy of the program strategy. c. Staff roles and qualifications are identified and appropriate for the proposed program. d. Program collaborators and their roles are identified. e. Timeline of activities is reasonable. f. The project addresses one of the priority areas for funding. 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>0</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>X</th><th>Total</th><th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td style="background-color: #cccccc;">5</td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments							5		
0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments											
						5													
<p>Section 4a Continuation Projects Only (5%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Program progress to date demonstrates program's effectiveness. b. Project demonstrates valid efforts to secure other sources of funding. 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>0</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>X</th><th>Total</th><th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td style="background-color: #cccccc;">1</td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments							1		
0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments											
						1													
<p>Section 5: Goals, Objectives and Performance Measures (30%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Goals and objectives outlined are clear and reasonable. b. Objectives listed are measurable. c. Performance measures are clearly identified and appropriate for measuring success. d. Evaluation plan is sound and will verify project's success. 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>0</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>X</th><th>Total</th><th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td style="background-color: #cccccc;">6</td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments							6		
0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments											
						6													
<p>Section 6: Budget Matrix and Narrative (15%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Costs are reasonable for the program as outlined. b. Budget narrative provides justification of budget expenditures. c. Provided cash match shows agency commitment. d. Program shows sustainability beyond grant funding. 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>0</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>X</th><th>Total</th><th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td style="background-color: #cccccc;">3</td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments							3		
0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments											
						3													
<table border="1" style="margin: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">FINAL SCORE</td> <td style="width: 100px; height: 30px;"></td> </tr> </table>		FINAL SCORE																	
FINAL SCORE																			

Scoring is based on a scale of zero to five, with 5 being the highest possible and 0 the lowest. The highest score possible for new applicants is 100. Continuation applicants may receive up to 105 points.

<p>Five: Excellent</p> <p>Four: Above average</p> <p>Three: Acceptable</p> <p>Two: Fair</p> <p>One: Inadequate</p> <p>Zero: Non-responsive</p>	<p>Responsive and well-executed</p> <p>Exceeds minimum in some areas</p> <p>Meets the minimum requirements of the RFP</p> <p>Partially unresponsive in some areas</p> <p>Fails to meet perceived needs</p> <p>Not addressed in the proposal</p>
--	---