

## Data Entry Section

### AREA REPORTED

State :Utah

County: Statewide

Reporting Period July / 2005

through June / 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17 )	324,186	266,909	2,559	29,285	4,053	2,847	5,334	13,199	57,277
2. Juvenile Arrests	27,877	20,343	891	5,272	797		574		7,534
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	32,825	22,183	1,053	7,584	397	605	1,003		10,642
4. Cases Diverted	5,802	4,025	165	1,264	96	136	116		1,777
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	8,901	5,518	422	2,304	97	147	413		3,383
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	26,989	18,130	888	6,314	301	469	887		8,859
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	24,602	16,618	788	5,715	269	395	817		7,984
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	3,360	2,079	162	940	35	39	105		1,281
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	198	102	10	69	4	4	9		96
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	41	21	1	10	2	5	2		20

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

**Yes**

**No**

**Yes**

**Yes**

**No**

**Yes**

**Yes**

release 10/30/05

### 5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Census 2000 Data - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2005 - see appendix A for methodology

Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

**Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles**

Reporting Period July / 2005  
through June / 2006

State :Utah

County: Statewide

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	<b>2.36</b>	<b>2.58</b>	*	<b>1.41</b>	**	<b>1.73</b>
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	<b>1.32</b>	<b>0.46</b>	*	<b>1.60</b>	**	<b>1.30</b>
4. Cases Diverted	*	<b>0.92</b>	<b>1.33</b>	*	<b>0.64</b>	--	<b>0.92</b>
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	<b>1.22</b>	0.98	*	<b>1.66</b>	--	<b>1.28</b>
6. Cases Petitioned	*	<b>1.02</b>	<b>0.93</b>	*	<b>1.08</b>	--	<b>1.02</b>
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	<b>0.99</b>	0.98	*	1.00	--	<b>0.98</b>
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	<b>1.31</b>	1.04	*	1.03	--	<b>1.28</b>
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	<b>1.97</b>	**	*	1.79	--	<b>1.96</b>
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	1.37	**	*	**	--	<b>1.95</b>
<b>Group meets 1% threshold?</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

**Bold font**

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

\*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

\*\*

Missing data for some element of calculation

---

**AREA REPORTED**

**Data Entry Section**

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

Reporting Period July / **2005**  
through June / **2006**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17 )	124,092	95,359	1,324	14,879	2,509	2,242	1,175	6,604	28,733
2. Juvenile Arrests	11,259	7,299	539	2,647	612		162		3,960
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	11,772	6,990	593	3,179	247	497	266		4,782
4. Cases Diverted	2,764	1,721	117	708	69	111	38		1,043
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3,387	1,819	216	1,053	65	125	109		1,568
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	9,004	5,266	476	2,470	178	386	228		3,738
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7,898	4,665	406	2,144	157	325	201		3,233
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,206	619	87	408	25	33	34		587
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	64	27	2	28	1	3	3		37
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	23	6	1	8	2	5	1		17
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?		<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

release 10/30/05

**5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES**

Item 1: Census 2000 Data - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2005 - see Appendix B for methodology  
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

**Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles**

Reporting Period July / 2005  
through June / 2006

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	<b>5.32</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>3.19</b>	**	*	**	<b>1.80</b>
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>0.42</b>	**	*	**	<b>1.26</b>
4. Cases Diverted	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.90</b>	1.13	0.91	*	--	<b>0.89</b>
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	<b>1.40</b>	<b>1.27</b>	1.01	0.97	*	--	<b>1.26</b>
6. Cases Petitioned	<b>1.07</b>	<b>1.03</b>	0.96	1.03	*	--	<b>1.04</b>
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	<b>0.96</b>	<b>0.98</b>	1.00	<b>0.95</b>	*	--	<b>0.98</b>
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	<b>1.61</b>	<b>1.43</b>	1.20	0.77	*	--	<b>1.37</b>
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	<b>2.26</b>	**	**	*	--	<b>1.98</b>
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	<b>2.84</b>	**	**	*	--	<b>3.99</b>
<b>Group meets 1% threshold?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

**Bold font**

Regular font

\*

\*\*

---

**AREA REPORTED**

**Data Entry Section**

State :Utah

County: Utah County

Reporting Period July / **2005**  
through June / **2006**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17 )	51,976	45,692	146	3,497	377	331	389	1,544	6,284
2. Juvenile Arrests	4,144	3,316	87	634	63		44		828
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4,394	3,357	56	861	28	38	54		1,037
4. Cases Diverted	1,072	852	11	186	7	12	4		220
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	879	640	16	191	7	11	14		239
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,317	2,501	45	674	21	26	50		816
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2,956	2,236	41	594	17	22	46		720
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	267	190	3	62	1	2	9		77
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	14	12	1	1	0	0	0		2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

**Yes No Yes No No No No Yes**

release 10/30/05

**5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES**

Item 1: Census 2000 Data- see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

BCI Data Calendar Year 2005 - see Appendix B for methodology  
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

**Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles**

Reporting Period July / 2005  
through June / 2006

State :Utah

County: Utah County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	<b>2.50</b>	*	*	*	**	<b>1.82</b>
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	<b>1.34</b>	*	*	*	**	<b>1.24</b>
4. Cases Diverted	*	<b>0.85</b>	*	*	*	--	<b>0.84</b>
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	<b>1.16</b>	*	*	*	--	<b>1.21</b>
6. Cases Petitioned	*	<b>1.05</b>	*	*	*	--	<b>1.06</b>
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	0.99	*	*	*	--	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.23	*	*	*	--	1.26
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	--	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	--	--
<b>Group meets 1% threshold?</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

**Bold font**

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

\*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

\*\*

Missing data for some element of calculation

---

**AREA REPORTED**

**Data Entry Section**

State :Utah

County: Weber County

Reporting Period July / **2005**  
through June / **2006**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17 )	28,390	21,719	387	3,788	248	43	232	1,973	6,671
2. Juvenile Arrests	2,801	1,783	108	886	16		8		1,018
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,636	1,966	184	1,404	21	11	50		1,670
4. Cases Diverted	358	198	14	138	4	3	1		160
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,297	678	114	474	5	0	26		619
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,276	1,767	170	1,265	17	8	49		1,509
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,112	1,675	162	1,203	16	8	48		1,437
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	447	201	32	204	0	0	10		246
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	48	26	2	18	0	0	2		22
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

**Yes Yes Yes No No No Yes**

release 10/30/05

**5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES**

Item 1: Census 2000 Data - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2005 - See Appendix B for Methodology  
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

**Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles**

Reporting Period July / 2005  
through June / 2006

State :Utah

County: Weber County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	<b>3.40</b>	<b>2.85</b>	*	*	*	**	<b>1.86</b>
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	<b>1.55</b>	<b>1.44</b>	*	*	*	**	<b>1.49</b>
4. Cases Diverted	0.76	0.98	*	*	*	--	0.95
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	<b>1.80</b>	0.98	*	*	*	--	1.07
6. Cases Petitioned	1.03	1.00	*	*	*	--	1.01
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.01	1.00	*	*	*	--	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	<b>1.65</b>	<b>1.41</b>	*	*	*	--	<b>1.43</b>
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	0.96	*	*	*	--	0.99
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	--	--
<b>Group meets 1% threshold?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

**Bold font**

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

\*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

\*\*

Missing data for some element of calculation

---



**AREA REPORTED**

**Data Entry Section**

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

Reporting Period July / 2005  
through June / 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17 )	81,536	70,044	294	5,028	461	123	3,296	2,290	11,492
2. Juvenile Arrests	6,980	5,757	56	784	36		347		1,223
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	10,050	7,618	110	1,636	66	36	584		2,432
4. Cases Diverted	1,239	965	12	180	11	4	67		274
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,607	1,865	40	430	14	8	250		742
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8,788	6,633	98	1,453	55	32	517		2,155
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	8,184	6,189	86	1,349	51	26	483		1,995
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,032	782	14	187	0	3	46		250
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	42	21	5	13	0	0	3		21
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	17	15	0	1	0	0	1		2

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

**Yes No Yes No No Yes Yes**

release 10/30/05

**5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES**

Item 1: Census 2000 Data - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2005 - see appendix A for methodology  
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology  
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

**Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles**

Reporting Period July / 2005  
through June / 2006

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	<b>1.90</b>	*	*	<b>1.28</b>	**	<b>1.29</b>
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	<b>1.58</b>	*	*	<b>1.27</b>	**	<b>1.50</b>
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.87	*	*	0.91	--	0.89
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.07	*	*	<b>1.75</b>	--	<b>1.25</b>
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.02	*	*	1.02	--	<b>1.02</b>
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	1.00	*	*	1.00	--	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.10	*	*	<b>0.75</b>	--	0.99
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	<b>2.84</b>	*	*	**	--	<b>3.10</b>
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	--	**
<b>Group meets 1% threshold?</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

**Bold font**

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

\*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

\*\*

Missing data for some element of calculation

---

## Appendix A

### FY2006 DMC RRI Data Sources and Notes

#### 1. Population at risk (age 10-17)

Data Source: 2000 Census Data

Utah Population - Youth At Risk (Age 10-17) data were collected through the 2000 Census data.

#### 2. Arrest

Data Source: Della I. Riquelme of Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification

The most recent juvenile arrest rates data available was calendar year 2005 BCI data. Asian and Pacific Islander rates are combined in this dataset. Hispanic rates were subtracted from the White racial category. This assumes all those of Hispanic origin noted their race as White. No “Other/Mixed” Race category was tracked. The total youth arrested includes 0-9 years old.

#### 3. Referral

Data sources for Referral (#3) to Transferred to Adult Court (#10) were compiled from the CARE database implemented by the juvenile court system and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). All points of contact from CARE data were aggregated on INTAKE DATE (for an “episode-based” analysis), except Detention which was calculated by in/out dates in location assignment to locked detention. Fiscal Year was selected based on INTAKE DATE. FY06 was comprised of INTAKE DATES between 7/1/05 and 6/30/06.

Race/Ethnicity information was missing for 9.0% of statewide CARE data.<sup>1</sup> In order to create the categories in the DMC tables, Race and Ethnicity were combined. Youth who were identified as “Latino/Hispanic” in Ethnicity and “White” in Race were flagged as “Hispanic” for the DMC tables. All other categories came from the number of youth reported in the Race groupings. Less than .01%<sup>2</sup> reported multiple minority Races and were coded into their first listed race.

Referral to the Court included all INTAKE DECISION codes, *except* the following (which are considered non-delinquency cases or internal court action):

AWE	Adult Warrant Executed
CAD	Case Accepted Another District

<sup>1</sup> For FY06: 9.0% statewide, 7.4% for Salt Lake County, 9.6% Weber County, 12.9% Davis County, 6.1% Utah County, 10.6% Non-Wasatch Front Counties

CW ONLY	Child Welfare
DTH	Detention Hearing
EEP	Education Enhancement Program
Exparte	Exparte Order
EXX	Warrant Executed
FIL	See File for intake action
FOR	Forwarded to another juvenile court
ICJ	Interstate Compact
IPN	ICJ-Ut Probation Not Accepted
LRE	
REV	Review Hearing Set
SHH	Shelter Hearing
TRH	Transient Returned to Home
VAC	Warrant Vacated
VAW	Adult Warrant Vacated
VOM	Closure for VOMP
Warrant	Warrant
YPA	Youth Parole Authority

#### 4. Diversion

Diversion from the court included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

Citation	Citation (include CT1 & CT2 in older FY)
FRM	Form Letter Sent to Parents
NJ	Non-Judicial (include all NJ+letter in older FY)
NOA	No Action Taken by Intake
NOF	No Action Taken After Contact
NRS	Alternative Referral Services
OTH	Other Non-Petition Action
INS	Insufficient Facts to Justify*
LOC	Unable to Locate the Referred*
NOJ	No Jurisdiction to Proceed*
PCA	Petition Denied by Prosecutor*
REF	Referred to Another Agency*
RET	Returned to Referral Source*

\*These codes were flagged as a separate type of “Dismissal” Diversion for separate internal DMC analyses. They are a small minority of the Diversion cases.

Diversion was also flagged by the new NJIntakeDecision field in CARE. However, there was some discrepancy between cases that showed Diversion in the NJIntakeDecision field and in the

INTAKE DECISION field, due to cases perhaps being originally Diverted, but later Petitioned due to lack of compliance. In these cases, only the later court action (Petitioned) was counted for RRI purposes. However, the NJIntakeDecision Diversion flag has been retained for internal analyses of DMC for Diversion.

## 5. Detention

Detention was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to locked detention were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within detention (e.g., a youth enters detention on 7/1/08, appears to be released on 7/3/08, but re-enters on 7/3/08 and exits again on 7/9/08 – in this instance, only 1 detention placement would be counted).

## 6. Petitioned/Charges Filed

Petitioned included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

CIT	Citable Charge Set for Hearing^
INF	Information Filed
MOT	Motion Filed
PET	Petition (include PT1, PT2, & PT3 in older FY)
PETREFIL	Petition Refile
OSC	Order to Show Cause*

^CIT are citable (Divertable) charges, but they have been set for a hearing with a judge for whatever reason; therefore, they do not qualify as a Diversion for RRI purposes

\*OSC's have also been flagged as their own category for separate internal DMC analyses.

## 7. Delinquent Findings

Delinquent Findings were all DISPOSITION codes in CARE, *except* the following (which are considered dismissals/not guilty, or cases that were processed non-judicially (counted in Diversion)):

DIS	Dismissed
DPB	Dismissed Plea Bargain
DWP	Dismissed Without Prejudice
NJ_	NJ+letter codes that indicate Non-Judicial processing

It should be noted that data were aggregated on Intake Date, so if one charge in that group had one of the previous Disposition Codes, but other charge(s) in the group did not, that episode

would be flagged as Delinquent (although some charged within that episode may have been dismissed).

ADJUDICATION DATE in CARE was also examined for overlap with DISPOSITION codes of delinquency.

## 8. Probation

Probation included the following DISPOSITION codes:

PRO	Probation by probation division
YCP	JJS Community Based placement*
PSS	Probation State Supervision^
PWC	Probation Work Camp^
YSS	DJJ State Supervision ^
GSS	DCFS State Supervision ^

\*YCP was included in OJJDP reporting as it is a form of supervision. Separate probation from probation codes vs. JJS Community Based placement flags were created for separate internal DMC analyses.

^Other specialty probation codes (e.g., State Supervision) were usually accompanied by the standard probation (PRO) code. However, when they were not, these codes were still included in the count of probation.

## 9. Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities

Secure Care included the following DISPOSITIONS:

YSF	Commitment to YC secure facility
-----	----------------------------------

2011 Notes: “Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility” counts for the RRI were defined as new service assignments to “XSF-Secure Facility” in CARE data during the fiscal year. Only new admissions (not overlaps with previous stays) were counted during each fiscal year. This is a count of new admissions, not youth in custody.

## 10. Transferred to Adult Court

Transfer to Adult Court included the following DISPOSITIONS:

BOD	Bound over to district court
OCT	Certified to adult court

Age at incident that resulted in BOD or OCT DISPOSITIONS was examined and determined to be 18 or younger to be included in the RRI count.