

DMC Data Entry Section - 2008
Adjusted Population at Risk (Age 10-17)*

Area Reported

State: Utah

County: Statewide

Reporting Period: as of Oct. 1, 2008

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or PI	Native American/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1	Beaver Co	915	790	1	96	10	1	17		125
2	Box Elder County	6436	5747	41	520	66	11	51		689
3	Cache County	12153	10317	116	1396	181	62	81		1836
4	Carbon County	2335	1987	18	262	15	3	50		348
5	Daggett County	97	91	0	3	0	0	3		6
6	Davis County	37450	32752	561	2893	656	334	254		4698
7	Duchesne County	2490	2157	6	77	10	6	234		333
8	Emery County	1313	1213	10	65	14	2	9		100
9	Garfield County	561	505	3	28	2	3	20		56
10	Grand County	913	745	4	89	7	1	67		168
11	Iron County	5132	4451	40	400	42	27	172		681
12	Juab County	1459	1378	9	46	10	4	12		81
13	Kane County	729	680	0	32	1	0	16		49
14	Millard County	1836	1550	6	236	18	2	24		286
15	Morgan County	1358	1310	3	29	9	4	3		48
16	Piute County	201	180	2	18	0	1	0		21

DMC Data Entry Section - 2008 Population at Risk (Age 10-17)

Area Reported

State: Utah Reporting Period: Jul-07
County: Statewide through: Jun-08

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Native American or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
17	Rich County	268	266	0	2	0	0	0		2
18	Salt Lake County	106007	77259	2138	19530	2967	2869	1244		28748
19	San Juan County	1800	730	3	38	0	5	1024		1070
20	Sanpete County	3106	2711	17	301	15	31	31		395
21	Sevier County	2703	2445	7	86	8	4	153		258
22	Summit County	4172	3721	24	368	39	6	14		451
23	Tooele County	7225	6062	95	823	46	62	137		1163
24	Uintah County	3380	2900	11	133	13	14	309		480
25	Utah County	58962	50539	437	6186	683	697	420		8423
26	Wasatch County	2663	2294	14	320	13	12	10		369
27	Washinton County	14396	12174	110	1509	97	248	258		2222
28	Wayne County	319	304	2	2	4	2	5		15
29	Weber County	24997	18967	499	4800	370	138	223		6030

Total Statewide **305376** **246225** **4177** **40288** **5296** **4549** **4841** **0** **59151**

Percentage 100.00% 80.63% 1.37% 13.19% 1.73% 1.49% 1.59% 0.00% 19.37%

Total Non-WasatchFront **77960** **66708** **542** **6879** **620** **511** **2700** **0** **11252**

* Total of 1108 youth or .36% of other/mixed/undeclared were not counted in the total

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Statewide

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	305,376	246,225	4,177	40,288	5,296	4,549	4,841		59,151
2. Juvenile Arrests	30,042	20,484	950	7,276	849		483		9,558
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	39,691	25,059	1,326	10,924	440	864	1,078		14,632
4. Cases Diverted	11,364	7,694	319	2,766	198	235	152		3,670
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	9,088	5,328	409	2,733	72	173	373		3,760
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	28,309	17,355	1,007	8,154	242	626	925		10,954
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	26,238	16,163	920	7,514	228	557	856		10,075
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	3,919	2,288	160	1,250	33	73	115		1,631
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	212	105	14	74	2	4	13		107
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	43	15	1	21	0	6	0		28
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2008 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2007 - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

State :Utah

County: Statewide

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.73	2.17	1.93	**	1.20	*	1.94
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.14	1.23	0.42	**	1.82	*	1.25
4. Cases Diverted	0.78	0.82	1.47	0.89	0.46	*	0.82
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.45	1.18	0.77	0.94	1.63	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	1.08	0.79	1.05	1.24	*	1.08
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.98	0.99	1.01	0.96	0.99	*	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.23	1.18	1.02	0.93	0.95	*	1.14
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2.34	1.52	**	**	2.34	*	1.63
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	2.98	**	11.09	**	*	2.96
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

Reporting Period July / **2007**
through June / **2008**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	106,007	77,259	2,138	19,530	2,967	2,869	1,244		28,748
2. Juvenile Arrests	11,305	7,166	487	2,922	607		123		4,139
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	14,108	7,571	741	4,577	261	663	295		6,537
4. Cases Diverted	4,790	2,869	175	1,395	117	184	50		1,921
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3,026	1,472	223	1,074	45	126	86		1,554
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	9,316	4,701	566	3,181	144	479	245		4,615
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	8,398	4,287	506	2,837	134	425	209		4,111
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,281	586	78	502	22	60	33		695
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	77	27	10	30	1	3	6		50
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	26	9	1	10	0	6	0		17
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2008 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2007 - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.46	1.61	2.21	**	1.07	*	1.55
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.44	1.48	0.41	**	2.27	*	1.49
4. Cases Diverted	0.62	0.80	1.18	0.73	0.45	*	0.78
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.55	1.21	0.89	0.98	1.50	*	1.22
6. Cases Petitioned	1.23	1.12	0.89	1.16	1.34	*	1.14
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.98	0.98	1.02	0.97	0.94	*	0.98
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.13	1.29	1.20	1.03	1.16	*	1.24
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	3.14	1.68	**	**	4.56	*	1.93
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	1.64	**	6.54	**	*	1.92
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Utah County

Reporting Period July / **2007**
through June / **2008**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	58,962	50,539	437	6,186	683	697	420		8,423
2. Juvenile Arrests	4,958	3,444	171	1,197	105		41		1,514
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5,336	3,676	72	1,432	34	70	52		1,660
4. Cases Diverted	1,468	1,183	9	243	15	11	7		285
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,148	701	27	374	4	27	15		447
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,860	2,490	63	1,187	19	56	45		1,370
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,532	2,271	56	1,098	16	50	41		1,261
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	351	229	5	106	2	3	6		122
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	12	8	0	4	0	0	0		4
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2008 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2007 - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

State :Utah

County: Utah County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.84	2.26	**	*	*	2.64
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.12	0.30	**	*	*	1.03
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.53	1.37	0.49	*	*	0.53
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.37	**	2.02	*	*	1.41
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.22	0.82	1.18	*	*	1.22
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	1.01	**	0.98	*	*	1.01
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	0.96	**	**	*	*	0.96
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	**	**	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	--	--	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Weber County

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	24,997	18,967	499	4,800	370	138	223		6,030
2. Juvenile Arrests	3,390	1,934	115	1,293	24		24		1,456
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5,026	2,762	226	1,914	22	17	85		2,264
4. Cases Diverted	1,532	909	59	535	7	8	14		623
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,251	652	70	499	4	2	24		599
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,494	1,853	167	1,379	15	9	71		1,641
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,330	1,773	164	1,302	14	9	68		1,557
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	686	346	43	280	4	0	13		340
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	49	22	2	23	0	1	1		27
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	3	0	0	3	0	0	0		3

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

Yes Yes Yes Yes No No No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2008 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2007 - see Appendix A for
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

State :Utah

County: Weber County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.26	2.64	0.64	*	*	*	2.37
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.38	1.04	**	*	*	*	1.09
4. Cases Diverted	0.79	0.85	**	*	*	*	0.84
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.31	1.10	**	*	*	*	1.12
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	1.07	**	*	*	*	1.08
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.03	0.99	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.34	1.10	**	*	*	*	1.12
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.42	**	*	*	*	1.40
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	77,960	66,708	542	6,879	620	511	2,700		11,252
2. Juvenile Arrests	7,195	5,340	91	1,414	64		286		1,855
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	11,918	8,647	162	2,368	66	75	600		3,271
4. Cases Diverted	2,265	1,767	34	365	18	15	66		498
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3,040	2,041	56	678	15	12	238		999
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	9,645	6,874	128	2,002	48	60	533		2,771
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	9,117	6,492	115	1,896	48	55	511		2,625
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,233	842	23	302	4	4	58		391
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	61	38	2	16	1	0	4		23
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	11	5	0	6	0	0	0		6

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

Yes No Yes No No Yes No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2008 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2007 - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2007
through June / 2008

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.57	*	*	1.32	*	2.06
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.03	*	*	1.30	*	1.09
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.75	*	*	0.54	*	0.75
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.21	*	*	1.68	*	1.29
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.06	*	*	1.12	*	1.07
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	1.00	*	*	1.02	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.23	*	*	0.88	*	1.15
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	1.44	*	*	**	*	1.50
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Appendix A

FY2008 DMC RRI Data Sources and Notes

1. Population at risk

Data Source: Shaunna Ford of Utah Board of Education, Statistic Department

Utah Population is as of October 2008 school enrollment. Data were generated for this report on October 8, 2008. The data does not include an estimate of the 3% private school youth and the 1% home schooled youth. The data does, however, include charter schools.

2. Arrest

Data Source: Mary Ann Curtis of Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification

The most recent juvenile arrest rates data available was calendar year 2007 BCI data. Asian and Pacific Islander rates are combined in this dataset. Hispanic rates were subtracted from the White racial category. This assumes all those of Hispanic origin noted their race as White. No "Other/Mixed" Race category was tracked. There was no report of arrest data for Garfield, Daggett, and Piute County. The total youth arrested includes 0-9 years old, which consist of 1.18% of the total youth population age 0-17.

3. Referral

Data sources for Referral (#3) to Transferred to Adult Court (#10) were compiled from the CARE database implemented by the juvenile court system and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). All points of contact from CARE data were aggregated on INTAKE DATE (for an "episode-based" analysis), except Detention which was calculated by in/out dates in location assignment to locked detention. Fiscal Year was selected based on INTAKE DATE. FY08 was comprised of INTAKE DATES between 7/1/07 and 6/30/08.

Race/Ethnicity information was missing for 4.1% of statewide CARE data.¹ In order to create the categories in the DMC tables, Race and Ethnicity were combined. Youth who were identified as "Latino/Hispanic" in Ethnicity and "White" in Race were flagged as "Hispanic" for the DMC tables. All other categories came from the number of youth reported in the Race groupings. Less than .01%² reported multiple minority Races and were coded into their first listed race.

Referral to the Court included all INTAKE DECISION codes, *except* the following (which are considered non-delinquency cases or internal court action):

¹ For FY08: 4.1% statewide, 1.9% for Salt Lake County, 2.4% Weber County, 9.6% Davis County, 4.7% Utah County, 5.6% Non-Wasatch Front Counties

AWE	Adult Warrant Executed
CAD	Case Accepted Another District
CW ONLY	Child Welfare
DTH	Detention Hearing
EEP	Education Enhancement Program
Exparte	Exparte Order
EXX	Warrant Executed
FIL	See File for intake action
FOR	Forwarded to another juvenile court
ICJ	Interstate Compact
IPN	ICJ-Ut Probation Not Accepted
LRE	
REV	Review Hearing Set
SHH	Shelter Hearing
TRH	Transient Returned to Home
VAC	Warrant Vacated
VAW	Adult Warrant Vacated
VOM	Closure for VOMP
Warrant	Warrant
YPA	Youth Parole Authority

4. Diversion

Diversion from the court included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

Citation	Citation (include CT1 & CT2 in older FY)
FRM	Form Letter Sent to Parents
NJ	Non-Judicial (include all NJ+letter in older FY)
NOA	No Action Taken by Intake
NOF	No Action Taken After Contact
NRS	Alternative Referral Services
OTH	Other Non-Petition Action
INS	Insufficient Facts to Justify*
LOC	Unable to Locate the Referred*
NOJ	No Jurisdiction to Proceed*
PCA	Petition Denied by Prosecutor*
REF	Referred to Another Agency*
RET	Returned to Referral Source*

*These codes were flagged as a separate type of “Dismissal” Diversion for separate internal DMC analyses. They are a small minority of the Diversion cases.

Diversion was also flagged by the new NJIntakeDecision field in CARE. However, there was some discrepancy between cases that showed Diversion in the NJIntakeDecision field and in the INTAKE DECISION field, due to cases perhaps being originally Diverted, but later Petitioned due to lack of compliance. In these cases, only the later court action (Petitioned) was counted for RRI purposes. However, the NJIntakeDecision Diversion flag has been retained for internal analyses of DMC for Diversion.

5. Detention

Detention was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to locked detention were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within detention (e.g., a youth enters detention on 7/1/08, appears to be released on 7/3/08, but re-enters on 7/3/08 and exits again on 7/9/08 – in this instance, only 1 detention placement would be counted).

6. Petitioned/Charges Filed

Petitioned included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

CIT	Citable Charge Set for Hearing^
INF	Information Filed
MOT	Motion Filed
PET	Petition (include PT1, PT2, & PT3 in older FY)
PETREFIL	Petition Refile
OSC	Order to Show Cause*

^CIT are citable (Divertable) charges, but they have been set for a hearing with a judge for whatever reason; therefore, they do not qualify as a Diversion for RRI purposes

*OSC's have also been flagged as their own category for separate internal DMC analyses.

7. Delinquent Findings

Delinquent Findings were all DISPOSITION codes in CARE, *except* the following (which are considered dismissals/not guilty, or cases that were processed non-judicially (counted in Diversion)):

DIS	Dismissed
DPB	Dismissed Plea Bargain
DWP	Dismissed Without Prejudice
NJ_	NJ+letter codes that indicate Non-Judicial processing

It should be noted that data were aggregated on Intake Date, so if one charge in that group had one of the previous Disposition Codes, but other charge(s) in the group did not, that episode would be flagged as Delinquent (although some charged within that episode may have been dismissed).

ADJUDICATION DATE in CARE was also examined for overlap with DISPOSITION codes of delinquency.

8. Probation

Probation included the following DISPOSITION codes:

PRO	Probation by probation division
YCP	JJS Community Based placement*
PSS	Probation State Supervision^
PWC	Probation Work Camp^
YSS	DJJ State Supervision ^
GSS	DCFS State Supervision ^

*YCP was included in OJJDP reporting as it is a form of supervision. Separate probation from probation codes vs. JJS Community Based placement flags were created for separate internal DMC analyses.

^Other specialty probation codes (e.g., State Supervision) were usually accompanied by the standard probation (PRO) code. However, when they were not, these codes were still included in the count of probation.

9. Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities

Secure Care included the following DISPOSITIONS:

YSF	Commitment to YC secure facility
-----	----------------------------------

2011 Notes: “Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility” counts for the RRI were defined as new service assignments to “XSF-Secure Facility” in CARE data during the fiscal year. Only new admissions (not overlaps with previous stays) were counted during each fiscal year. This is a count of new admissions, not youth in custody.

10. Transferred to Adult Court

Transfer to Adult Court included the following DISPOSITIONS:

BOD	Bound over to district court
OCT	Certified to adult court

Age at incident that resulted in BOD or OCT DISPOSITIONS was examined and determined to be 18 or younger to be included in the RRI count.