

DMC Data Entry Section - 2009
Adjusted Population at Risk (Age 10-17)*

Area Reported

State: **Utah**

Reporting Period: as of Oct. 1 2009

County: **Statewide**

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or PI	Native American/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1	Beaver Co	937	811	2	108	4	0	12		126
2	Box Elder County	6532	5778	59	574	53	16	52		754
3	Cache County	12764	10768	116	1524	185	87	84		1996
4	Carbon County	2218	1882	25	265	11	2	33		336
5	Daggett County	114	103	2	7	0	0	2		11
6	Davis County	38989	34076	587	3063	650	401	212		4913
7	Duchesne County	2559	2227	7	93	9	13	210		332
8	Emery County	1368	1250	9	84	9	3	13		118
9	Garfield County	559	501	4	37	0	2	15		58
10	Grand County	941	753	6	115	7	1	59		188
11	Iron County	5303	4585	49	440	37	26	166		718
12	Juab County	1541	1460	8	47	8	6	12		81
13	Kane County	706	647	0	36	3	1	19		59
14	Millard County	1740	1424	8	276	15	2	15		316
15	Morgan County	1420	1378	4	23	9	5	1		42
16	Piute County	216	199	4	13	0	0	0		17

DMC Data Entry Section - 2009
Adjusted Population at Risk (Age 10-17)*

Area Reported

State: **Utah**

Reporting Period: as of Oct. 1 2009

County: **Statewide**

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Native American or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
17	Rich County	252	243	0	7	0	2	0		9
18	Salt Lake County	111679	79572	2367	22123	3296	3001	1320		32107
19	San Juan County	1803	806	7	47	5	5	933		997
20	Sanpete County	3198	2781	19	334	18	18	28		417
21	Sevier County	2730	2460	8	135	9	7	111		270
22	Summit County	4223	3722	31	413	40	9	8		501
23	Tooele County	7813	6623	103	860	48	72	107		1190
24	Uintah County	3583	3068	11	189	16	17	282		515
25	Utah County	64103	54672	497	6904	772	805	453		9431
26	Wasatch County	2915	2472	8	401	18	8	8		443
27	Washinton County	15011	12644	122	1641	83	261	260		2367
28	Wayne County	326	310	1	7	5	2	1		16
29	Weber County	25943	19406	484	5308	378	145	222		6537

30

<u>Total Statewide</u>	<u>321486</u>	<u>256621</u>	<u>4548</u>	<u>45074</u>	<u>5688</u>	<u>4917</u>	<u>4638</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>64865</u>
Percentage	100.00%	79.82%	1.41%	14.02%	1.77%	1.53%	1.44%	0.00%	20.18%
<u>Total Non-WasatchFront</u>	<u>80772</u>	<u>68895</u>	<u>613</u>	<u>7676</u>	<u>592</u>	<u>565</u>	<u>2431</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11877</u>

* Total of 1534 youth or .47% of Other/Mixed/Undeclared were not counted in the total

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Statewide

Reporting Period July / **2008**
through June / **2009**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	329,222	262,163	4,663	46,779	5,849	5,040	4,728		67,059
2. Juvenile Arrests	29,563	19,683	1,057	7,446	901		476		9,880
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	36,597	23,221	1,226	10,000	423	789	938		13,376
4. Cases Diverted	10,934	7,359	305	2,676	194	252	148		3,575
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	8,317	4,868	337	2,571	62	130	349		3,449
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	25,625	15,832	920	7,320	229	537	787		9,793
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	23,608	14,636	831	6,711	219	473	738		8,972
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	2,452	1,409	89	823	21	50	60		1,043
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	195	94	11	69	3	5	13		101
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	31	11	7	11	0	1	1		20
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2009 Data - See Appendix A for
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2008 - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2008
through June / 2009

State :Utah

County: Statewide

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	3.02	2.12	2.05	**	1.34	*	1.96
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.98	1.14	0.40	**	1.67	*	1.15
4. Cases Diverted	0.79	0.84	1.45	1.01	0.50	*	0.84
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.31	1.23	0.70	0.79	1.77	*	1.23
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	1.07	0.79	1.00	1.23	*	1.07
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.98	0.99	1.03	0.95	1.01	*	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.11	1.27	1.00	1.10	0.84	*	1.21
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2.06	1.60	**	**	2.74	*	1.75
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	10.95	2.16	**	**	**	*	2.94
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

Reporting Period July / **2008**
through June / **2009**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	115,192	81,691	2,440	23,186	3,422	3,089	1,364		33,501
2. Juvenile Arrests	11,177	6,935	554	2,944	619		125		4,242
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	13,089	6,918	713	4,441	234	576	207		6,171
4. Cases Diverted	4,655	2,701	187	1,420	116	190	41		1,954
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,604	1,213	184	1,017	34	90	66		1,391
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8,428	4,213	525	3,020	118	386	166		4,215
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7,618	3,842	466	2,710	111	335	154		3,776
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	746	333	34	316	13	37	13		413
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	66	25	4	30	2	3	2		41
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	22	6	5	10	0	1	0		16

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2009 Data - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2008 - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2008
through June / 2009

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.67	1.50	2.13	**	1.08	*	1.49
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.29	1.51	0.38	**	1.66	*	1.46
4. Cases Diverted	0.67	0.82	1.27	0.84	0.51	*	0.81
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.47	1.31	0.83	0.89	1.82	*	1.29
6. Cases Petitioned	1.21	1.12	0.83	1.10	1.32	*	1.12
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.97	0.98	1.03	0.95	1.02	*	0.98
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.84	1.35	1.35	1.27	0.97	*	1.26
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.70	**	**	**	*	1.67
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	2.33	**	**	**	*	2.67
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Utah County

Reporting Period July / **2008**
through June / **2009**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	64,103	54,672	497	6,904	772	805	453		9,431
2. Juvenile Arrests	5,007	3,536	177	1,149	107		38		1,471
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4,680	3,327	77	1,107	41	88	40		1,353
4. Cases Diverted	1,233	976	19	206	17	11	4		257
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,045	693	17	304	4	14	13		352
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,442	2,346	58	901	24	77	36		1,096
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,215	2,181	57	852	24	67	34		1,034
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	177	120	0	47	2	3	5		57
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	20	11	1	7	1	0	0		9
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2009 Data - See Appendix A for
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2008 - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2008
through June / 2009

State :Utah

County: Utah County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.57	2.14	**	*	*	2.41
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.02	0.41	**	*	*	0.98
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.63	1.41	0.43	*	*	0.65
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.32	**	0.76	*	*	1.25
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.15	0.83	1.24	*	*	1.15
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	1.02	**	0.94	*	*	1.01
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.00	**	**	*	*	1.00
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	1.63	**	**	*	*	1.73
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	--	--	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Weber County

Reporting Period July / **2008**
through June / **2009**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	26,484	19,771	490	5,474	373	150	226		6,713
2. Juvenile Arrests	3,206	1,723	107	1,325	36		15		1,483
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,984	2,259	166	1,452	25	14	68		1,725
4. Cases Diverted	1,367	844	32	460	15	7	9		523
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	945	491	61	365	4	1	23		454
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2,615	1,413	134	992	10	7	59		1,202
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2,407	1,300	124	915	9	7	52		1,107
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	321	143	24	146	0	3	5		178
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	29	11	4	12	0	2	0		18
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	0	1	0	0	0		1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2009 Data - See Appendix A for
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2008 - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2008
through June / 2009

State :Utah

County: Weber County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.51	2.78	1.11	*	*	*	2.53
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.18	0.84	0.53	*	*	*	0.89
4. Cases Diverted	0.52	0.85	**	*	*	*	0.81
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.69	1.16	**	*	*	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	1.29	1.09	**	*	*	*	1.11
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.01	1.00	**	*	*	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.76	1.45	**	*	*	*	1.46
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.55	**	*	*	*	1.92
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

Reporting Period July / **2008**
through June / **2009**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	82,138	69,992	626	7,885	602	572	2,461		12,146
2. Juvenile Arrests	7,295	5,186	98	1,649	76		286		2,109
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	11,302	8,180	148	2,255	74	67	578		3,122
4. Cases Diverted	2,473	1,965	35	354	15	26	78		508
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3,027	1,984	48	723	18	17	237		1,043
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8,804	6,196	113	1,898	59	41	497		2,608
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	8,186	5,762	102	1,756	57	39	470		2,424
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	886	590	18	241	6	3	28		296
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	60	34	1	15	1	0	9		26
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	4	2	1	0	0	0	1		2
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2009 Data - See Appendix A for
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI Data Calendar Year 2008 - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2008
through June / 2009

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.82	*	*	1.57	*	2.34
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.87	*	*	1.28	*	0.94
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.65	*	*	0.56	*	0.68
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.32	*	*	1.69	*	1.38
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.11	*	*	1.14	*	1.10
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	0.99	*	*	1.02	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.34	*	*	0.58	*	1.19
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	1.45	*	*	3.25	*	1.82
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Appendix E

FY2009 DMC RRI Data Sources and Notes

1. Population at risk

Data Source: Utah Department of Education, Data and Statistics Department, Jennifer Lambert

Utah Population is as of October 2009 school enrollment. Data were generated for this report on March 26, 2010. The data does not include an estimate of the 3% private school youth and the 1% home schooled youth. Appropriately 1.02% of the total population, or 3356 youth were not included due to youth identified as mixed/other, or unidentified which school/district belong to due to Statewide, combined Counties Charter School. The data does, however, include all charter schools.

2. Arrest

Data Source: Mary Ann Curtis of Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification

The most recent juvenile arrest rates data available was calendar year 2008 BCI data. Asian and Pacific Islander rates are combined in this dataset. Hispanic rates were subtracted from the White racial category. This assumes all those of Hispanic origin noted their race as White. No "Other/Mixed" Race category was tracked. There was no report of arrest data for Garfield or Piute County. The total youth arrested includes 0-9 years old, which consist of 1.06% of the total youth population age 0-17.

3. Referral

Data sources for Referral (#3) to Transferred to Adult Court (#10) were compiled from the CARE database implemented by the juvenile court system and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). All points of contact from CARE data were aggregated on INTAKE DATE (for an "episode-based" analysis), except Detention which was calculated by in/out dates in location assignment to locked detention. Fiscal Year was selected based on INTAKE DATE. For example FY09 was comprised of INTAKE DATES between 7/1/08 and 6/30/09.

Race/Ethnicity information was missing for 2.5% of statewide CARE data.¹ In order to create the categories in the DMC tables, Race and Ethnicity were combined. Youth who were identified as

¹ In FY09: Broken into 1.2% for Salt Lake County, 2.2% Weber County, 3.6% Davis County, 5.0% Utah County, 2.8% Non-Wasatch Front Counties;
For FY08: 4.1% statewide, 1.9% for Salt Lake County, 2.4% Weber County, 9.6% Davis County, 4.7% Utah County, 5.6% Non-Wasatch Front Counties;
For FY07: 8.4% statewide, 6.0% for Salt Lake County, 5.5% Weber County, 13.7% Davis County, 5.5% Utah County, 11.9% Non-Wasatch Front Counties;
For FY06: 9.0% statewide, 7.4% for Salt Lake County, 9.6% Weber County, 12.9% Davis County, 6.1% Utah County, 10.6% Non-Wasatch Front Counties;

“Latino/Hispanic” in Ethnicity and “White” in Race were flagged as “Hispanic” for the DMC tables. All other categories came from the number of youth reported in the Race groupings. Less than .01%² reported multiple minority Races and were coded into their first listed race.

Referral to the Court included all INTAKE DECISION codes, *except* the following (which are considered non-delinquency cases or internal court action):

AWE	Adult Warrant Executed
CAD	Case Accepted Another District
CW ONLY	Child Welfare
DTH	Detention Hearing
EEP	Education Enhancement Program
Exparte	Exparte Order
EXX	Warrant Executed
FIL	See File for intake action
FOR	Forwarded to another juvenile court
ICJ	Interstate Compact
IPN	ICJ-Ut Probation Not Accepted
LRE	
REV	Review Hearing Set
SHH	Shelter Hearing
TRH	Transient Returned to Home
VAC	Warrant Vacated
VAW	Adult Warrant Vacated
VOM	Closure for VOMP
Warrant	Warrant
YPA	Youth Parole Authority

4. Diversion

Diversion from the court included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

Citation	Citation (include CT1 & CT2 in older FY)
FRM	Form Letter Sent to Parents
NJ	Non-Judicial (include all NJ+letter in older FY)
NOA	No Action Taken by Intake
NOF	No Action Taken After Contact
NRS	Alternative Referral Services
OTH	Other Non-Petition Action
INS	Insufficient Facts to Justify*
LOC	Unable to Locate the Referred*

² Of youth in FY06 through FY09 CARE data

NOJ	No Jurisdiction to Proceed*
PCA	Petition Denied by Prosecutor*
REF	Referred to Another Agency*
RET	Returned to Referral Source*

*These codes were flagged as a separate type of “Dismissal” Diversion for separate internal DMC analyses. They are a small minority of the Diversion cases.

Diversion was also flagged by the new NJIntakeDecision field in CARE. However, there was some discrepancy between cases that showed Diversion in the NJIntakeDecision field and in the INTAKE DECISION field, due to cases perhaps being originally Diverted, but later Petitioned due to lack of compliance. In these cases, only the later court action (Petitioned) was counted for RRI purposes. However, the NJIntakeDecision Diversion flag has been retained for internal analyses of DMC for Diversion.

5. Detention

Detention was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to locked detention were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within detention (e.g., a youth enters detention on 7/1/08, appears to be released on 7/3/08, but re-enters on 7/3/08 and exits again on 7/9/08 – in this instance, only 1 detention placement would be counted).

6. Petitioned/Charges Filed

Petitioned included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

CIT	Citable Charge Set for Hearing^
INF	Information Filed
MOT	Motion Filed
PET	Petition (include PT1, PT2, & PT3 in older FY)
PETREFIL	Petition Refile
OSC	Order to Show Cause*

^CIT are citable (Divertable) charges, but they have been set for a hearing with a judge for whatever reason; therefore, they do not qualify as a Diversion for RRI purposes

*OSC’s have also been flagged as their own category for separate internal DMC analyses.

7. Delinquent Findings

Delinquent Findings were all DISPOSITION codes in CARE, *except* the following (which are considered dismissals/not guilty, or cases that were processed non-judicially (counted in Diversion)):

DIS	Dismissed
DPB	Dismissed Plea Bargain
DWP	Dismissed Without Prejudice
NJ_	NJ+letter codes that indicate Non-Judicial processing

It should be noted that data were aggregated on Intake Date, so if one charge in that group had one of the previous Disposition Codes, but other charge(s) in the group did not, that episode would be flagged as Delinquent (although some charged within that episode may have been dismissed).

ADJUDICATION DATE in CARE was also examined for overlap with DISPOSITION codes of delinquency.

8. Probation

Probation included the following DISPOSITION codes:

PRO	Probation by probation division
YCP	JJS Community Based placement*
PSS	Probation State Supervision^
PWC	Probation Work Camp^
YSS	DJJ State Supervision ^
GSS	DCFS State Supervision ^

*YCP was included in OJJDP reporting as it is a form of supervision. Separate probation from probation codes vs. JJS Community Based placement flags were created for separate internal DMC analyses.

^Other specialty probation codes (e.g., State Supervision) were usually accompanied by the standard probation (PRO) code. However, when they were not, these codes were still included in the count of probation.

9. Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities

Secure Care included the following DISPOSITIONS:

YSF	Commitment to YC secure facility
-----	----------------------------------

2011 Notes: “Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility” counts for the RRI were defined as new service assignments to “XSF-Secure Facility” in CARE data during the fiscal year. Only new admissions (not overlaps with previous stays) were counted during each fiscal year. This is a count of new admissions, not youth in custody.

10. Transferred to Adult Court

Transfer to Adult Court included the following DISPOSITIONS:

BOD	Bound over to district court
OCT	Certified to adult court

Age at incident that resulted in BOD or OCT DISPOSITIONS was examined and determined to be 18 or younger to be included in the RRI count.