

DMC Data Entry Section - 2010
Adjusted Population at Risk (Age 10-17)*

Area Reported

State: Utah
 County: Statewide

Reporting Period: Jul-09
 through: Jun-10

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or PI	Native American/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1	Beaver Co	931	808	2	98	14	2	7		123
2	Box Elder County	6660	5861	63	599	63	20	54		799
3	Cache County	13199	11026	132	1654	208	103	76		2173
4	Carbon County	2273	1928	22	282	12	1	28		345
5	Daggett County	95	90	0	3	1	0	1		5
6	Davis County	40667	35151	686	3365	725	508	232		5516
7	Duchesne County	2580	2255	6	126	6	8	179		325
8	Emery County	1378	1252	9	98	5	2	12		126
9	Garfield County	549	494	3	38	0	1	13		55
10	Grand County	926	714	11	130	10	2	59		212
11	Iron County	5153	4449	36	492	23	23	130		704
12	Juab County	1549	1464	8	49	9	6	13		85
13	Kane County	697	648	0	32	2	5	10		49
14	Millard County	1741	1424	7	266	16	9	19		317
15	Morgan County	1491	1448	1	34	2	5	1		43
16	Piute County	204	188	3	13	0	0	0		16

DMC Data Entry Section - 2010
Adjusted Population at Risk (Age 10-17)*

Area Reported

State: Utah Reporting Period: Jul-09
County: Statewide through: Jun-10

No.	County	Total Youth	White	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Native American or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
17	Rich County	274	266	0	6	0	0	2		8
18	Salt Lake County	113064	78810	2530	23816	3688	2972	1248		34254
19	San Juan County	1810	762	7	46	5	7	983		1048
20	Sanpete County	3230	2803	16	370	11	12	18		427
21	Sevier County	2698	2484	9	140	9	7	49		214
22	Summit County	4159	3533	16	538	48	8	16		626
23	Tooele County	8202	6982	102	910	40	70	98		1220
24	Uintah County	3650	3121	13	205	13	16	282		529
25	Utah County	68022	57834	531	7406	869	912	470		10188
26	Wasatch County	3079	2596	8	439	21	5	10		483
27	Washington County	15858	13268	138	1751	112	303	286		2590
28	Wayne County	327	315	1	6	0	4	1		12
29	Weber County	26787	19666	465	5756	400	173	327		7121
<u>Total Statewide</u>		<u>331253</u>	<u>261640</u>	<u>4825</u>	<u>48668</u>	<u>6312</u>	<u>5184</u>	<u>4624</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>69613</u>
Percentage		100.00%	78.98%	1.46%	14.69%	1.91%	1.56%	1.40%	0.00%	21.02%
<u>Total Non-WasatchFront</u>		<u>82713</u>	<u>70179</u>	<u>613</u>	<u>8325</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>619</u>	<u>2347</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12534</u>

* 1.58% or total of 5291 youth were not accounted in total above; 2942 youth belong to Charter schools that are statewide or combined counties; 2349 youth were of other/mixed race

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Statewide

Reporting Period July / **2009**
through June / **2010**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	331,253	261,640	4,825	48,668	6,312	5,184	4,624		69,613
2. Juvenile Arrests	25,974	17,230	969	6,532	838		405		8,744
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	34,039	21,368	1,214	9,364	482	790	821		12,671
4. Cases Diverted	11,074	7,351	313	2,754	201	282	173		3,723
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	7,596	4,553	343	2,220	95	131	254		3,043
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	22,892	13,960	900	6,602	280	508	642		8,932
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	21,140	12,910	820	6,089	255	460	606		8,230
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	2,297	1,354	77	749	28	45	44		943
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	185	82	13	80	1	0	9		103
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	22	9	0	12	0	1	0		13
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2010 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI 2009 Calendar Year Data - See Appendix A for
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2009
through June / 2010

State :Utah

County: Statewide

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	3.05	2.04	2.02	**	1.33	*	1.91
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.01	1.16	0.46	**	1.63	*	1.17
4. Cases Diverted	0.75	0.85	1.21	1.04	0.61	*	0.85
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.33	1.11	0.93	0.78	1.45	*	1.13
6. Cases Petitioned	1.13	1.08	0.89	0.98	1.20	*	1.08
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.99	1.00	0.98	0.98	1.02	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.90	1.17	1.05	0.93	0.69	*	1.09
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2.50	2.07	**	**	2.34	*	1.97
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	2.82	**	**	**	*	2.26
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

Reporting Period July / **2009**
through June / **2010**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	113,064	78,810	2,530	23,816	3,688	2,972	1,248		34,254
2. Juvenile Arrests	10,376	6,242	506	2,961	573		94		4,134
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	13,015	6,678	685	4,595	270	577	210		6,337
4. Cases Diverted	4,366	2,398	177	1,411	121	214	45		1,968
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,523	1,193	182	974	48	84	42		1,330
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8,649	4,280	508	3,184	149	364	164		4,369
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7,848	3,901	455	2,881	134	326	151		3,947
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	846	401	38	344	15	36	12		445
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	57	14	7	31	1	0	4		43
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	18	7	0	10	0	1	0		11
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2010 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI 2009 Calendar Year Data - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2009
through June / 2010

State :Utah

County: Salt Lake County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.53	1.57	1.96	**	0.95	*	1.52
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.27	1.45	0.44	**	2.09	*	1.43
4. Cases Diverted	0.72	0.86	1.25	1.03	0.60	*	0.86
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.49	1.19	1.00	0.81	1.12	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	1.08	0.86	0.98	1.22	*	1.08
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.98	1.01	*	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.81	1.16	1.09	1.07	0.77	*	1.10
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	4.29	3.00	**	**	**	*	3.04
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	1.92	**	**	**	*	1.54
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Utah County

Reporting Period July / **2009**
through June / **2010**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	68,022	57,834	531	7,406	869	912	470		10,188
2. Juvenile Arrests	4,347	3,011	124	1,062	123		27		1,336
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4,610	3,365	65	1,003	51	84	42		1,245
4. Cases Diverted	1,436	1,113	11	263	14	22	13		323
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	956	668	22	228	6	24	8		288
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,172	2,250	54	740	37	62	29		922
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2,965	2,094	50	702	36	57	26		871
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	162	121	5	31	1	2	2		41
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	25	14	0	11	0	0	0		11
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?

Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept of Edu 2010 School Enrollment - See Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI 2009 Calendar Year Data - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2009
through June / 2010

State :Utah

County: Utah County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.75	2.72	**	*	*	2.52
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.85	0.37	**	*	*	0.83
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.79	0.83	0.79	*	*	0.78
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.15	0.59	1.44	*	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.10	1.09	1.10	*	*	1.11
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	1.02	1.05	0.99	*	*	1.02
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	0.76	**	**	*	*	0.81
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	2.34	**	**	*	*	1.89
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	--	--	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Weber County

Reporting Period July / 2009
through June / 2010

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	26,787	19,666	465	5,756	400	173	327		7,121
2. Juvenile Arrests	2,245	1,283	123	791	26		22		962
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,361	1,914	132	1,238	26	13	38		1,447
4. Cases Diverted	1,137	698	31	391	10	3	4		439
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	883	462	47	344	15	3	12		421
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2,224	1,216	101	847	16	10	34		1,008
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2,088	1,136	96	799	15	10	32		952
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	305	143	12	141	2	0	7		162
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	21	5	2	14	0	0	0		16
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2010 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI 2009 Calendar Year Data - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2009
through June / 2010

State :Utah

County: Weber County

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	4.05	2.11	1.00	*	1.03	*	2.07
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.72	1.05	**	*	**	*	1.01
4. Cases Diverted	0.64	0.87	**	*	**	*	0.83
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.48	1.15	**	*	1.31	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	1.20	1.08	**	*	1.41	*	1.10
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.02	1.01	**	*	1.01	*	1.01
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.99	1.40	**	*	1.74	*	1.35
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

Reporting Period July / **2009**
through June / **2010**

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	82,713	70,179	613	8,325	630	619	2,347		12,534
2. Juvenile Arrests	6,227	4,583	106	1,243	52		243		1,644
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	9,872	7,181	189	1,877	72	69	484		2,691
4. Cases Diverted	2,963	2,329	42	455	23	19	95		634
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,566	1,760	53	545	22	16	170		806
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	6,845	4,800	147	1,417	48	49	384		2,045
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	6,362	4,462	134	1,312	42	45	367		1,900
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	720	500	13	178	7	3	19		220
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	68	37	3	23	0	0	5		31
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	3	2	0	1	0	0	0		1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?			Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No

release 10/30/05

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: Dept. of Edu 2010 School Enrollment - see Appendix
 Item 3: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 5: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 7: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 9: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Item 2: BCI 2009 Calendar Year Data - See Appendix A for methodology
 Item 4: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 6: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 8: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology
 Item 10: CARE Database - see Appendix A for methodology

Summary: Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles

Reporting Period July / 2009
through June / 2010

State :Utah

County: Non-Wasatch Front

	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.29	*	*	1.59	*	2.01
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.96	*	*	1.27	*	1.04
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.75	*	*	0.61	*	0.73
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	1.18	*	*	1.43	*	1.22
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.13	*	*	1.19	*	1.14
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	1.00	*	*	1.03	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	1.21	*	*	0.46	*	1.03
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	2.11	*	*	**	*	1.97
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

release 10/30/05

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Appendix A

FY2010 DMC RRI Data Sources and Methodological Notes Utah Criminal Justice Center, University of Utah with Utah Board of Juvenile Justice Disproportionate Minority Contact Data Working Group

1. Population at risk

Data Source: Utah Office of Education, Data & Statistics, Emily Tew

Population at risk is as of October 2010 school enrollment. Data were generated for this report on February 15, 2011. The data does not include an estimate of the 3% private school and the 1% home schooled youth. Appropriately 1.58% or total of 5291 youth were not accounted in overall total; 2942 youth belong to Charter schools that are statewide or combined counties; 2349 youth were of other/mixed race. The data does, however, include all charter schools.

2. Arrest

Data Source: Mary Ann Curtis of Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification

Arrest data was collected from the Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification (BCI). The Bureau functions under the Utah Department of Public Safety. The Bureau collects data from state and local law enforcement agencies. These agencies use the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) Program. Reporting to the Bureau is voluntary; a few agencies choose not to submit data. The FY10 data for juvenile arrest rates was 2009 calendar year. Asian and Pacific Islander rates were combined in this dataset. Hispanic rates were subtracted from the White racial category. This assumes all those of Hispanic origin noted their race as White. No "Other/Mixed" Race category was tracked. There was no report of arrest data for Garfield or Piute County. 2010 Total populations at risk for these two counties were 753 youth or .23% of the total population. The total youth arrested includes 0-9 year olds, which consists of 0.92% or 236 arrest of the total arrest age 0-17

3. Referral

Data sources for Referral (#3) to Transferred to Adult Court (#10) were compiled from the CARE database implemented by the juvenile court system and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). All points of contact from CARE data were aggregated on INTAKE DATE (for an "episode-based" analysis), except Detention which was calculated by in/out dates in location assignment to locked detention. Fiscal Year was selected based on INTAKE DATE. For example FY10 was comprised of INTAKE DATES between 7/1/09 and 6/30/10.

Race/Ethnicity information was missing for 1.8% of statewide CARE data.¹ In order to create the categories in the DMC tables, Race and Ethnicity were combined. Youth who were identified as

¹ In FY10: Broken into 0.4% for Salt Lake County, 0.6% Weber County, 0.5% Davis County, 4.9% Utah County, 4.0% Cache County, and 2.9% Non-Wasatch Front Counties

“Latino/Hispanic” in Ethnicity and “White” in Race were flagged as “Hispanic” for the DMC tables. All other categories came from the number of youth reported in the Race groupings. Less than .02% reported multiple minority Races and were coded into their first listed race.

Referral to the Court included all INTAKE DECISION codes, *except* the following (which are considered non-delinquency cases or internal court action):

AWE	Adult Warrant Executed
CAD	Case Accepted Another District
CW ONLY	Child Welfare
DTH	Detention Hearing
EEP	Education Enhancement Program
Exparte	Exparte Order
EXX	Warrant Executed
FIL	See File for intake action
FOR	Forwarded to another juvenile court
ICJ	Interstate Compact
IPN	ICJ-Ut Probation Not Accepted
LRE	
REV	Review Hearing Set
SHH	Shelter Hearing
TRH	Transient Returned to Home
VAC	Warrant Vacated
VAW	Adult Warrant Vacated
VOM	Closure for VOMP
Warrant	Warrant
YPA	Youth Parole Authority

4. Diversion

Diversion from the court included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

Citation	Citation (include CT1 & CT2 in older FY)
FRM	Form Letter Sent to Parents
NJ	Non-Judicial (include all NJ+letter in older FY)
NOA	No Action Taken by Intake
NOF	No Action Taken After Contact
NRS	Alternative Referral Services
OTH	Other Non-Petition Action
INS	Insufficient Facts to Justify*
LOC	Unable to Locate the Referred*
NOJ	No Jurisdiction to Proceed*

PCA	Petition Denied by Prosecutor*
REF	Referred to Another Agency*
RET	Returned to Referral Source*

*These codes were flagged as a separate type of “Dismissal” Diversion for separate internal DMC analyses. They are a small minority of the Diversion cases included in the DMC counts for OJJDP.

Diversion was also flagged by the new NJIntakeDecision field in CARE. Values in this field should indicate cases that are originally Diverted, but later Petitioned (usually due to lack of compliance). In these cases, only the later court action (Petitioned) was counted for RRI purposes. However, the NJIntakeDecision Diversion flag has been retained for internal analyses of DMC for Diversion.

5. Detention

Detention was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to locked detention were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within detention (e.g., a youth enters detention on 7/1/09, appears to be released on 7/3/09, but re-enters on 7/3/09 and exits again on 7/9/09 – in this instance, only 1 detention placement would be counted). Statewide 6.5% of detention data were missing race/ethnicity information.²

6. Petitioned/Charges Filed

Petitioned included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

CIT	Citable Charge Set for Hearing^
INF	Information Filed
MOT	Motion Filed
PET	Petition (include PT1, PT2, & PT3 in older FY)
PETREFIL	Petition Refile
OSC	Order to Show Cause*

^CIT are citable (Divertable) charges, but they have been set for a hearing with a judge for whatever reason; therefore, they do not qualify as a Diversion for RRI purposes

*OSC’s have also been flagged as their own category for separate internal DMC analyses.

² In FY10 DT: Broken into 0.2% for Salt Lake County, 0.9% Weber County, 0.0% Davis County, 5.5% Utah County, 1.2% Cache County, and 1.4% Non-Wasatch Front Counties

7. Delinquent Findings

Delinquent Findings were all DISPOSITION codes in CARE, *except* the following (which are considered dismissals/not guilty, or cases that were processed non-judicially (counted in Diversion)):

DIS	Dismissed
DPB	Dismissed Plea Bargain
DWP	Dismissed Without Prejudice
NJ_	NJ+letter codes that indicate Non-Judicial processing

It should be noted that data were aggregated on Intake Date, so if one charge in that group had one of the previous Disposition Codes, but other charge(s) in the group did not, that episode would be flagged as Delinquent (although some charged within that episode may have been dismissed).

ADJUDICATION DATE in CARE was also examined for overlap with DISPOSITION codes of delinquency.

8. Probation

Probation included the following DISPOSITION codes:

PRO	Probation by probation division
YCP	JJS Community Based placement*
PSS	Probation State Supervision^
PWC	Probation Work Camp^
YSS	DJJ State Supervision ^
GSS	DCFS State Supervision ^

*YCP was included in OJJDP reporting as it is a form of supervision. Separate probation from probation codes vs. JJS Community Based placement flags were created for separate internal DMC analyses.

^Other specialty probation codes (e.g., State Supervision) were usually accompanied by the standard probation (PRO) code. However, when they were not, these codes were still included in the count of probation.

9. Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities

Secure Care included the following DISPOSITIONS:

YSF	Commitment to YC secure facility
-----	----------------------------------

2011 Notes: “Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility” counts for the RRI were defined as new service assignments to “XSF-Secure Facility” in CARE data during the fiscal year. Only new admissions (not overlaps with previous stays) were counted during each fiscal year. This is a count of new admissions, not youth in custody.

10. Transferred to Adult Court

Transfer to Adult Court included the following DISPOSITIONS:

BOD	Bound over to district court
OCT	Certified to adult court

Age at incident that resulted in BOD or OCT DISPOSITIONS was examined and determined to be 18 or younger to be included in the RRI count.