



BJA BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

GMS Report Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) 2015-AK-BX-K003 Report Period: Jan 2017 - Mar 2017

The following report covers grantee reported activity for grant number 2015-AK-BX-K003 awarded to State of Utah for the period 01 Oct 2015 - 31 Mar 2017. The award, in the amount of \$1,999,680.00, was issued as part of the BJA FY 15 SAKI solicitation. Any funds reported only represent an estimate of dollars allocated or used for activities covered by this award.

This report covers 1 reporting period(s) of data, represented as follows:

- Jan - Mar 2017

Project Description

The National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) provides funding to state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies, and prosecutor's offices to support multidisciplinary community response teams engaged in the comprehensive reform of jurisdictions' approaches to sexual assault cases resulting from evidence found in previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits (SAKs) - i.e. those SAKs that have never been submitted to a crime laboratory. The goal of the SAKI is the creation of a coordinated community response that ensures just resolution to these cases whenever possible through a victim-centered approach, as well as to build jurisdictions' capacity to prevent the development of conditions that lead to high numbers of unsubmitted SAKs in the future. The holistic program provides jurisdictions with resources to address their unsubmitted SAK issue, including support to inventory, test, and track SAKs; create and report performance metrics; access necessary training to increase effectiveness in addressing the complex issues associated with these cases and engage in multidisciplinary policy development, implementation, and coordination; and improve practices related to investigation, prosecution, and victim engagement and support in connection with evidence and cases resulting from the testing process. The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice will use this 2015 SAKI award to reform the handling of sexual assault cases in Salt Lake County, Utah, by establishing a multidisciplinary, victim-centered approach to resolve cases from unsubmitted SAKs, and developing community measures to prevent future collections of unsubmitted SAKs. The recipient will establish a multidisciplinary working group, The Salt Lake County Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kit (USAK) Working Group, to develop comprehensive strategies to track, investigate and prosecute all sexual assault cases stemming from DNA analysis of previously USAKs. The USAK will verify the 2014 inventory of unsubmitted SAKs; establish guidelines for SAK evidence destruction; track the unsubmitted SAKs; test the unsubmitted SAKs; identify challenges related to testing SAKs; identify solutions to improve efficiency of DNA screening and analysis; produce protocols and policies to support improved coordination between all agencies involved in sexual assault cases; establish resources for investigations and prosecutions resulting from testing the previously unsubmitted SAKs; establish

resources to optimize and support victim notification protocols and services; and develop a tracking system linking data on SAKs from UBFS, SANEs, law enforcement, and prosecutors to improve coordination of all services while allowing victims full access to upload information about their SAKs and cases. CA/NCF

Grantee

The grantee indicated the award had grant activity during the report period. Performance data can be found in the "Performance Measures" section. Narrative information for the award can be found in the "Grantee Comments" and "Goals and Objectives" sections.

Award Synopsis

The following table displays whether the grantee was operational, not operational, or closed out during the report period.

Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017

	Operational	Not Operational	Closed Out
Grantee	Yes		No

Goals & Objectives

The following goals and objectives were entered by the grantee during the report period.

Direct Grantee: (Reporting Period: -)

#	Goal	Status	Progress & Barriers	Planned Activities
---	------	--------	---------------------	--------------------

Performance Measures

Performance measures data for the GMS report period are displayed below. Only sections with reported data are shown. "Cumulative Total" includes both quarters represented in this report and any other data reported on previous GMS reports since the start of the award.

Grant Activities

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
1. Was there grant activity during the reporting period?		
A. Yes B. No	A. Yes B. -	A. 1 B. 0
2. For this initiative, have you appointed a dedicated site coordinator?		
A. If Yes, enter the name and	A. If Yes, enter the name and	A. 1

contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. Name C. Phone number D. E-mail address E. If no, please explain:	contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. April Ensign C. 801-538-1062 D. aensign@utah.gov E. -	B. - C. - D. - E. 0
--	---	------------------------------

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
----------------	---	-------------------------

3. Please enter the approximate **percentage** of funds allocated to each category below.

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
3. Please enter the approximate percentage of funds allocated to each category below.		
BJA Award (this award) Inventory All Associated Testing Costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs) Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services	BJA Award (this award) 80% 4% 95% All Other 20% 68% 5%	BJA Award (this award) 0 0 0 All Other 0 0 0
All Other Inventory All Associated Testing Costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs) Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services	TOTAL 100 If other, list names of other sources: State of UtahFBI/NIJ	TOTAL - If other, list names of other sources: 0
TOTAL Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services		
If other, list names of other sources: Input Numeric Value		

Baseline

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
1. Was an inventory of unsubmitted SAKs started prior to the grant being awarded? Only select Yes if you started your SAK inventory prior to receiving grant funds.		
A. No	A. 1	A. 1

Inventory and Tracking

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
7. Please enter the number of SAKs which fit in each category below for the reporting period.		
<p>Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period).</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p>	<p>Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period).</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p>	<p>Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period).</p> <p>-</p> <p>0</p>
<p>Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p>	<p>Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p>	<p>Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</p> <p>-</p> <p>0</p>
<p>Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs?</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p>	<p>Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs?</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p>	<p>Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs?</p> <p>-</p> <p>0</p>
<p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were</p>		<p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs</p>

<p>determined not to require DNA testing? During this reporting period Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to need DNA testing Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted) Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p>	<p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing?</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p> <p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to need DNA testing</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>0</p> <p>102</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)</p> <p>0</p> <p>48</p>	<p>inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing?</p> <p>- 0</p> <p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to need DNA testing</p> <p>- 0</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>- 102</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)</p> <p>- 48</p>
<p>8. During the reporting period, how many unsubmitted SAKs were determined to not need testing for each of the following reasons.</p>		
<p>A. Not able to retrieve evidence</p> <p>B. Evidence contained in SAK would not impact investigation or prosecution</p> <p>C. Statute of limitations</p> <p>D. Other</p> <p>E. If other, please explain</p>	<p>A. 0</p> <p>B. 0</p> <p>C. 0</p> <p>D. 0</p> <p>E. -</p>	<p>A. 0</p> <p>B. 0</p> <p>C. 0</p> <p>D. 0</p> <p>E. 0</p>

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
9. During the reporting period, were DNA profiles from forensic analysis uploaded into CODIS from SAKs submitted for testing?		
A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, how many?	A. Yes B. - C. 24	A. 1 B. 0 C. -

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
10. Of the total number of DNA profiles uploaded into CODIS during the reporting period (identified in question 9), how many of the following confirmed hits were recorded? (If no hits were confirmed, enter "0". If a sample has hit to multiple cases, enter number of cases hit)		

<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits) Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index) Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index) Number of hits during the reporting period</p>	<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)</p> <p>17</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index)</p> <p>1</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index)</p> <p>16</p>	<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)</p> <p>17</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index)</p> <p>1</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index)</p> <p>16</p>
--	--	--

11. During the reporting period, enter the number of cases where the CODIS hit(s) identified a different offender than who was originally named or prosecuted in the case. A suspect may be in the case file via name with no DNA profile obtained. A previously untested kit may yield a new hit on a person that was not named in the original case file. Enter "0" if no cases have emerged.

- A. Number of cases that identified a different offender than who was originally named or prosecuted.
- B. Number of exonerations that have occurred from CODIS hits naming a new suspect(s) on a previous case.

A. 1
B. 0

A. 1
B. 0

12. Of the total number of CODIS hits (identified in question 10A), how many hits fit in the following categories?

Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.

Cumulative (since start of award)
During this reporting period

Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. **Remember: do not count the same state twice.** Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.

Cumulative (since start of award)
During this reporting period

Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.

0
0

Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. **Remember: do not count the same state twice.** Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.

0
0

Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.

-
0

Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. **Remember: do not count the same state twice.** Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.

-
0

13. Of the number of **Offender/Arrestee Hits** during the reporting period identified in question 10C, how many fit each of the following categories?

Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case

Number of hits during the reporting period

Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).

Number of hits during the reporting period

Serial Sex Offender: Out of the **Previous CODIS hits to known**

offenders/arrestees, how many were for a **Serial Sex Offender** (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?

Number of hits during the reporting period

Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the **Previous CODIS hits to known**

offenders/arrestees, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of **non-sexual offenses** (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?

Number of hits during the reporting period

Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case

11

Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).

5

Serial Sex Offender: Out of the **Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees**, how many were for a **Serial Sex Offender** (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?

3

Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the **Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees**, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of **non-sexual offenses** (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?

13

Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case

11

Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).

5

Serial Sex Offender: Out of the **Previous CODIS hits to known**

offenders/arrestees, how many were for a **Serial Sex Offender** (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?

3

Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the **Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees**, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of **non-sexual offenses** (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?

13

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
14. During the reporting period, what factors contributed to successfully submitting SAKs or improving your submission of SAKs? Select all that apply.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. N/A Have not completed inventory B. Effective in-house records management system C. Proper protocols in place D. Coordination with departmental leadership E. Sufficient amount of staff available F. Sufficient amount of laboratory staff available G. Effective in-house Training H. Access to money and/or other resources I. Diligent community-based victim services J. Understanding and responding to victim trauma K. Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques L. Understanding the importance of properly handling and testing SAKs M. If other, please explain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. - B. - C. Proper protocols in place D. Coordination with departmental leadership E. - F. - G. Effective in-house Training H. - I. - J. - K. - L. - M. - 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 0 B. 0 C. 1 D. 1 E. 0 F. 0 G. 1 H. 0 I. 0 J. 0 K. 0 L. 0 M. 0
15. During the reporting period, what factors does your jurisdiction see as contributing to unsubmitted SAKs? Select all that apply.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Input Numeric Value B. Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations C. Employees would benefit from more training D. New and improved protocols needed E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response F. Need for additional/increased ties with community-based 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. - B. Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations C. Employees would benefit from more training D. - E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response F. - G. - H. - 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. - B. 1 C. 1 D. 0 E. 1 F. 0 G. 0 H. 0 I. 1 J. 0

<p>victim services</p> <p>G. Need for a better evidence tracking system</p> <p>H. Chronic instability in departmental leadership</p> <p>I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits</p> <p>J. If other, please explain</p>	<p>I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits</p> <p>J. -</p>	
<p>16. Were any cases forwarded for investigation related to the SAKs tested during the reporting period? Include any cases opened/reopened because of the results of testing SAKs.</p>		
<p>A. Yes</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. If yes, how many cases were forwarded for investigation</p>	<p>A. Yes</p> <p>B. -</p> <p>C. 12</p>	<p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 0</p> <p>C. -</p>
<p>Measure</p>	<p>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</p>	<p>Cumulative Total</p>
<p>17. During the reporting period, enter the number of victims located, contacted, or agreeing to participate (associated with previously unsubmitted SAK) as a result of the SAKI.</p>		
<p>A. Number of Victims located (have found where victim resides)</p> <p>B. Of those located, how many Victims were contacted</p> <p>C. Number of victims determined to be deceased</p> <p>D. Of those contacted, how many agreed to actively participate in a new investigation resulting from the SAKI</p>	<p>A. 11</p> <p>B. 7</p> <p>C. 0</p> <p>D. 5</p>	<p>A. 11</p> <p>B. 7</p> <p>C. 0</p> <p>D. 5</p>
<p>18. How many SAKI cases were forwarded for prosecution during the reporting period?</p> <p>• Number</p>		
	<p>• 10</p>	<p>• 10</p>
<p>Measure</p>	<p>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</p>	<p>Option Response Totals</p>
<p>20. What case elements did the working group consider when prioritizing cases during the reporting period? Select all that apply.</p>		
<p>A. N/A have not broached</p> <p>B. N/A not prioritizing (e.g. forklift approach)</p> <p>C. Age of victim</p>	<p>A. -</p> <p>B. -</p> <p>C. -</p> <p>D. -</p>	<p>A. 0</p> <p>B. 0</p> <p>C. 0</p> <p>D. 0</p>

D. Victim/victim's family cooperation	E. - F. Statute of limitations	E. 0 F. 1
E. Public safety concerns	G. -	G. 0
F. Statute of limitations	H. -	H. 0
G. DNA of known offender	I. -	I. 0
H. Other/new evidence and/or witnesses have come to light (not SAK related)		
I. Other		

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
----------------	---	-------------------------

21. Please enter the number of cases which fit in each category below for the reporting period.

How many cases were charged? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	How many cases were charged? 0 3	How many cases were charged? - 3
Had the charges dismissed? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Had the charges dismissed? 0 0	Had the charges dismissed? - 0
Resulted in a plea bargain? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Resulted in a plea bargain? 0 0	Resulted in a plea bargain? - 0
Ended with a conviction following a trial? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Ended with a conviction following a trial? 0 0	Ended with a conviction following a trial? - 0
Ended with an acquittal? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Ended with an acquittal? 0 0	Ended with an acquittal? - 0
Ended in mistrial?		

Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Ended in mistrial? 0 0	Ended in mistrial? - 0
Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
22. During the reporting period, were there cases where prosecution was denied? (e.g., case was deemed to have insufficient evidence)?		
A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, how many times during the reporting period did this occur?	A. Yes B. - C. 1	A. 1 B. 0 C. -
24. During the reporting period, please select the reasons prosecution was denied. Select all that apply.		
A. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	A. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	A. 1
25. Have you publicly shared any progress or success stories during this reporting period?		
A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, provide the link to your progress or success story	A. - B. No C. -	A. 0 B. 1 C. -

Working Group and Partners

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
26. Do you have an established regularly convening multidisciplinary working group ?		
A. Yes B. No C. If no, please explain:	A. Yes B. - C. -	A. 1 B. 0 C. -
28. How often did your multidisciplinary working group meet during the reporting period? Check the one option that best applies.		
A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. 1
29. During the reporting period, did your working group identify any other entities, groups, organizations, or		

programs (e.g. private sector entities such as evidence tracking providers) that were **not on the working group**?

A. No

A. No

A. 1

Measure

**Reporting Period:
Jan - Mar 2017**

Cumulative Total

31. Please enter the total number of active and new partners participating in the SAKI during the reporting period.

Number participating in the working group

Number participating in the working group

Number participating in the working group

Number of ACTIVE partners during the reporting period

47

47

Number of NEW partners during the reporting period

18

18

Number of victim advocacy partners

Number of victim advocacy partners

Number of victim advocacy partners

Number of ACTIVE partners during the reporting period

10

10

Number of NEW partners during the reporting period

7

7

Measure

**Reporting Period:
Jan - Mar 2017**

Option Response Totals

32. How would you rate the following **working group** partners based on the statement "This partner is actively involved in the program."

A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)

A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)

A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. -

1. 0

2. Strongly Disagree

2. -

2. 0

3. Disagree

3. -

3. 0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

4. -

4. 0

5. Agree

5. -

5. 0

6. Strongly Agree

6. Strongly Agree

6. 1

B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)

B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)

B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. -

1. 0

2. Strongly Disagree

2. -

2. 0

3. Disagree

3. Disagree

3. 1

3. Disagree

4. -

4. 0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5. 0
5. Agree	6. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	C. Federal law enforcement agencies	C. Federal law enforcement agencies
C. Federal law enforcement agencies	1. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. Disagree	3. 1
3. Disagree	4. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5. 0
5. Agree	6. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	D. State law enforcement agencies	D. State law enforcement agencies
D. State law enforcement agencies	1. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	4. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5. 0
5. Agree	6. Strongly Agree	6. 1
6. Strongly Agree	E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)	E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)
E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)	1. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	4. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. Agree	5. 1
5. Agree	6. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	F. Crime victim/witness services	F. Crime victim/witness services
F. Crime victim/witness services	1. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	4. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. Agree	5. 1
5. Agree	6. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	G. Pretrial service organizations	G. Pretrial service organizations
G. Pretrial service organizations	1. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. Disagree	3. 1
3. Disagree	4. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5. 0
5. Agree	6. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	H. U.S. Attorney's Office	H. U.S. Attorney's Office
H. U.S. Attorney's Office	1. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. Disagree	3. 1
3. Disagree	4. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5. 0
5. Agree	6. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	I. Prosecution	I. Prosecution
I. U.S. Attorney's Office	1. -	1. 0

1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	6. 1
6. Strongly Agree	6. Strongly Agree	J. Public defender/indigent defense
I. Prosecution	J. Public defender/indigent defense	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 1
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	K. Courts
J. Public defender/indigent defense	K. Courts	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 1
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)
K. Courts	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 1
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	M. Corrections
L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	M. Corrections	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 1
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	N. Health care providers
K. Courts	N. Health care providers	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	6. 1
6. Strongly Agree	6. Strongly Agree	O. Mental health care providers
L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	O. Mental health care providers	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	4. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. 0
5. Agree		6. 0
6. Strongly Agree		P. Substance abuse treatment
M. Corrections		
1. NA/Not Tracked		
2. Strongly Disagree		
3. Disagree		
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		
5. Agree		
6. Strongly Agree		

N. Health care providers	5. -	providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	P. Substance abuse treatment providers	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. Disagree	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	6. 0
O. Mental health care providers	5. -	Q. Child protective services
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	Q. Child protective services	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. Disagree	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	6. 0
P. Substance abuse treatment providers	5. -	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. Disagree	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	6. 0
Q. Child protective services	5. -	S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. Disagree	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	6. 0
R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	5. -	T. Faith-based organizations
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	T. Faith-based organizations	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. Disagree	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	6. 0
S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	5. -	U. Subject matter experts
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	U. Subject matter experts	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. -	5. 1
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	6. 0
T. Faith-based organizations	5. Agree	V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		
3. Disagree		

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	2. 0
5. Agree	1. -	3. 0
6. Strongly Agree	2. -	4. 0
T. Faith-based organizations	3. -	5. 1
1. NA/Not Tracked	4. -	6. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	5. Agree	W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)
3. Disagree	6. -	1. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)	2. 0
5. Agree	1. -	3. 0
6. Strongly Agree	2. -	4. 0
U. Subject matter experts	3. -	5. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	4. -	6. 1
2. Strongly Disagree	5. -	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)
3. Disagree	6. Strongly Agree	1. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	2. 0
5. Agree	1. -	3. 1
6. Strongly Agree	2. -	4. 0
V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	3. Disagree	5. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	4. -	6. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	5. -	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies
3. Disagree	6. -	1. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	2. 0
5. Agree	1. -	3. 1
6. Strongly Agree	2. -	4. 0
W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)	3. Disagree	5. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	4. -	6. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	5. -	Z. Forensic Laboratories
3. Disagree	6. -	1. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	Z. Forensic Laboratories	2. 0
5. Agree	1. -	3. 0
6. Strongly Agree	2. -	4. 0
X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	3. -	5. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	4. -	6. 1
2. Strongly Disagree	5. -	AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses
3. Disagree	6. Strongly Agree	1. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses	2. 0
5. Agree	1. -	3. 0
6. Strongly Agree	2. -	4. 0
Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	3. -	5. 0
	4. -	6. 1
	5. -	AB. Other
	6. Strongly Agree	1. 1
	AB. Other	2. 0
	1. NA/Not Tracked	3. 0
		4. 0
		5. 0

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. - 6. - 	6. 0
Z. Forensic Laboratories		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree 		
AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree 		
AB. Other		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree 		
If other please explain		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Other 		

33. Please select which working group partner is doing which role in the initiative. Mark all that apply:

<p>A. Inventorying the SAKs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. Investigator 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. Other 	<p>A. Inventorying the SAKs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. - 5. - 6. - 7. - 	<p>A. Inventorying the SAKs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 1 3. 1 4. 0 5. 0 6. 0 7. 0
<p>B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 	<p>B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. Law enforcement 	<p>B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 1

3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
C. Collecting information from SAKs	C. Collecting information from SAKs	C. Collecting information from SAKs
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system	D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system	D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Law enforcement	2. -	2. 0
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
F. Recommending victim services	F. Recommending victim services	F. Recommending victim services
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
G. Helping victims understand the court process	G. Helping victims understand the court process	G. Helping victims understand the court process
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 1
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
		7. 0

7. Other H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. Investigator 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. Other I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. Investigator 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. Other	7. - H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims 1. - 2. Law enforcement 3. - 4. - 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. - I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case 1. - 2. Law enforcement 3. - 4. - 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. -	H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 5. 1 6. 1 7. 0 I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 5. 1 6. 1 7. 0
--	--	--

Policies, Procedures, and Protocols

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
32. For each of the following policies/procedures please indicate if it has been established, it is under development, or has not yet been established/developed.		
A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services	A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. - B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. - C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services 1. - 2. Yes	A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services 1. 0 2. 1

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No <p>D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No <p>E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No <p>F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3. - 4. - <p>D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. - <p>E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. - <p>F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. - <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. - <p>H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3. 0 4. 0 <p>D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 <p>E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 <p>F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 1 3. 0 4. 0 <p>H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation</p>
---	---	---

- 4. No
- H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- K. Other
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes

- SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)
 - 1. -
 - 2. -
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. -
- I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).
 - 1. -
 - 2. -
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. -
- J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group
 - 1. -
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. -
 - 4. -
- K. Other
 - 1. NA
 - 2. -
 - 3. -
 - 4. -

- methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)
 - 1. 0
 - 2. 0
 - 3. 1
 - 4. 0
- I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).
 - 1. 0
 - 2. 0
 - 3. 1
 - 4. 0
- J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group
 - 1. 0
 - 2. 1
 - 3. 0
 - 4. 0
- K. Other
 - 1. 1
 - 2. 0
 - 3. 0
 - 4. 0

- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- If other please explain
- o Other

33. What information are you collecting from the SAKs? Select all that apply.

A. Victim Sex, Age, Race	A. Victim Sex, Age, Race	A. 1
B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race	B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race	B. 1
C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years)	C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years)	C. 1
D. Victim-Suspect relationship	D. Victim-Suspect relationship	D. 1
E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators	E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators	E. 1
F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved	F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved	F. 1
G. Was a weapon used	G. Was a weapon used	G. 1
H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam	H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam	H. 1
I. If other, please explain	I. -	I. 0

34. Please indicate the development status for the following resources for victims and victim service providers as of the last day of the reporting period.

A. FAQ brochures	A. FAQ brochures	A. FAQ brochures
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Completed	2. -	2. 0
3. Currently drafting	3. Currently drafting	3. 1
4. Plan on developing	4. -	4. 0
B. Packet of community resources	B. Packet of community resources	B. Packet of community resources
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Completed	2. -	2. 0
3. Currently drafting	3. Currently drafting	3. 1
4. Plan on developing	4. -	4. 0
C. Flyers	C. Flyers	C. Flyers
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Completed	2. -	2. 0
3. Currently drafting	3. Currently drafting	3. 1
4. Plan on developing	4. -	4. 0
D. Training materials	D. Training materials	D. Training materials
1. NA	1. -	1. 0
2. Completed	2. -	2. 0
3. Currently drafting	3. -	3. 0
4. Plan on developing	4. Plan on developing	4. 1
E. Other	E. Other	E. Other
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Completed	2. -	2. 0
3. Currently drafting	3. -	3. 0

<p>4. Plan on developing If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Other 	<p>4. -</p>	<p>4. 0</p>
<p>35. During the reporting period, did you share resources developed for victims with any of the following partners and/or other groups?</p>		
<p>A. Victims</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>H. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Other 	<p>A. Victims</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. No <p>H. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - 	<p>A. Victims</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 0 2. 0 3. 1 <p>H. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 2. 0 3. 0
<p>36. Do you have an electronic tracking system?</p>		
<p>A. Yes</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. If yes, when was it implemented (Month/Year)</p>	<p>A. -</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. -</p> <p>D. Utah's IT Department reviewed</p>	<p>A. 0</p> <p>B. 1</p> <p>C. -</p> <p>D. -</p>

D. If no, please explain:

the Portland, SAMS d

37. How often did your program conduct the following activities during the reporting period?

A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage

1. Not Applicable
2. Don't know
3. Weekly
4. Monthly
5. Quarterly

B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet

1. Not Applicable
2. Don't know
3. Weekly
4. Monthly
5. Quarterly

C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)

1. Not Applicable
2. Don't know
3. Weekly
4. Monthly
5. Quarterly

D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media outreach)

1. Not Applicable
2. Don't know
3. Weekly
4. Monthly
5. Quarterly

E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards)

1. Not Applicable
2. Don't know
3. Weekly
4. Monthly
5. Quarterly

F. Other

1. Not Applicable
2. Don't know

A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage

1. Not Applicable
2. -
3. -
4. -
5. -

B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet

1. -
2. -
3. -
4. Monthly
5. -

C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)

1. Not Applicable
2. -
3. -
4. -
5. -

D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media outreach)

1. Not Applicable
2. -
3. -
4. -
5. -

E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards)

1. -
2. -
3. -
4. Monthly
5. -

F. Other

1. Not Applicable
2. -

A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage

1. 1
2. 0
3. 0
4. 0
5. 0

B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet

1. 0
2. 0
3. 0
4. 1
5. 0

C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)

1. 1
2. 0
3. 0
4. 0
5. 0

D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media outreach)

1. 1
2. 0
3. 0
4. 0
5. 0

E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards)

1. 0
2. 0
3. 0
4. 1
5. 0

F. Other

1. 1
2. 0

3. Weekly	3. -	3. 0
4. Monthly	4. -	4. 0
5. Quarterly	5. -	5. 0
If other please explain		
o Other		

38. Are you or a partner conducting an evaluation of the SAKI program?

A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. 0
C. If Yes, please provide the following contact information for the person conducting the evaluation.	C. -	C. -
D. Name	D. Dr. Heather Melton	D. -
E. E-mail address	E. heather.melton@soc.utah.edu	E. -
F. Phone number	F. 801-581-3108	F. -

Training

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
39. Was training conducted for the working group members during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
41. During the reporting period, which of the following topics were covered in training sessions for the working group members? Select all that apply.		
A. Value of forensic evidence	A. Value of forensic evidence	A. 1
B. Community/public relations	B. Community/public relations	B. 1
C. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices	C. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices	C. 1
Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
42. How many working group members were trained during the reporting period?		
A. Law enforcement supervisors	A. 7	A. 7
B. Detectives/Investigators	B. 7	B. 7
C. Sexual assault nurse examiners/Forensic nurses	C. 3	C. 3
D. Forensic lab personnel	D. 7	D. 7
E. Prosecutors	E. 3	E. 3
F. Community-based victim	F. 3	F. 3
	G. 7	G. 7

advocates G. System-based victim advocates H. Other	H. 12	H. 12
---	-------	-------

TTA Contact

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
42. Did your program receive any Technical Assistance from a BJA funded TTA provider during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
43. What was the nature of the contact with the BJA funded TTA provider during the reporting period? Select all that apply:		
A. Phone call/email	A. Phone call/email	A. 1
44. On average, how often did you have contact with the BJA TTA provider during the reporting period?		
A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. 1
45. How satisfied are you with the BJA TTA engagement during this reporting period?		
A. Satisfied	A. Satisfied	A. 1

Budget and Employment

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Cumulative Total
46. During the reporting period, how many NEW positions were created using BJA-program funds?		
A. Full-time positions B. Part-time positions	A. 1 B. 0	A. 1 B. 0
Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Option Response Totals
47. During the reporting period, were BJA-program funds used to fund overtime?		
A. Yes B. No	A. - B. No	A. 0 B. 1

Grantee Comments

No comments entered