



**GMS Report
Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI)
2015-AK-BX-K003
Report Period: Jul 2016 - Dec 2016**

The following report covers grantee reported activity for grant number 2015-AK-BX-K003 awarded to State of Utah for the period 01 Oct 2015 - 31 Dec 2016. The award, in the amount of \$1,999,680.00, was issued as part of the BJA FY 15 SAKI solicitation. Any funds reported only represent an estimate of dollars allocated or used for activities covered by this award.

This report covers 2 reporting period(s) of data, represented as follows:

- Jul - Sep 2016
- Oct - Dec 2016

Project Description

The National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) provides funding to state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies, and prosecutor's offices to support multidisciplinary community response teams engaged in the comprehensive reform of jurisdictions' approaches to sexual assault cases resulting from evidence found in previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits (SAKs) - i.e. those SAKs that have never been submitted to a crime laboratory. The goal of the SAKI is the creation of a coordinated community response that ensures just resolution to these cases whenever possible through a victim-centered approach, as well as to build jurisdictions' capacity to prevent the development of conditions that lead to high numbers of unsubmitted SAKs in the future. The holistic program provides jurisdictions with resources to address their unsubmitted SAK issue, including support to inventory, test, and track SAKs; create and report performance metrics; access necessary training to increase effectiveness in addressing the complex issues associated with these cases and engage in multidisciplinary policy development, implementation, and coordination; and improve practices related to investigation, prosecution, and victim engagement and support in connection with evidence and cases resulting from the testing process. The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice will use this 2015 SAKI award to reform the handling of sexual assault cases in Salt Lake County, Utah, by establishing a multidisciplinary, victim-centered approach to resolve cases from unsubmitted SAKs, and developing community measures to prevent future collections of unsubmitted SAKs. The recipient will establish a multidisciplinary working group, The Salt Lake County Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kit (USAK) Working Group, to develop comprehensive strategies to track, investigate and prosecute all sexual assault cases stemming from DNA analysis of previously USAKs. The USAK will verify the 2014 inventory of unsubmitted SAKs; establish guidelines for SAK evidence destruction; track the unsubmitted SAKs; test the unsubmitted SAKs; identify challenges related to testing SAKs; identify solutions to improve efficiency of DNA screening and analysis; produce protocols and policies to support improved coordination between all agencies involved in sexual assault cases; establish resources for investigations and prosecutions resulting from testing the previously unsubmitted SAKs; establish resources to optimize and support victim notification protocols and services; and develop a tracking system linking data on SAKs from UBFS, SANEs, law enforcement, and prosecutors to improve coordination of all services while allowing victims full access to upload information about their SAKs and cases. CA/NCF

Grantee

The grantee indicated the award had grant activity during the report period. Performance data can be found in the "Performance Measures" section. Narrative information for the award can be found in the "Grantee Comments" and "Goals and Objectives" sections.

Award Synopsis

The following table displays whether the grantee was operational, not operational, or closed out during the report period.

Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016

	Operational	Not Operational	Closed Out

Grantee	Yes	No
----------------	-----	----

Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016

	Operational	Not Operational	Closed Out
Grantee	Yes		No

Goals & Objectives

The following goals and objectives were entered by the grantee during the report period.

Direct Grantee: (Reporting Period: Oct 2016 - Dec 2016)

#	Goal	Status	Progress & Barriers	Planned Activities
1	Reduce the number of unsubmitted sexual assault kits by implementing a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary plan to inventory, test, and track unsubmitted sexual assault kits through final adjudication.	In progress	In June 2016 we completed our inventory of unsubmitted sexual assault kits. We identified 1,751 unsubmitted sexual assault kits, however during a case review in the current quarter we determined 1 of the sexual assault kits belonged to a jurisdiction outside of Salt Lake County, reducing our inventory of unsubmitted sexual assault kits to 1,750. Of those, 1,420 have been submitted to the lab leaving only 330 unsubmitted. ...have been tested with money the Utah State Legislature provided in 2014. The remaining unsubmitted sexual assault kits will be tested with the DANY grant. Between October and December 2016 we went through a competitive bid process to contract with a vendor laboratory to test the remaining unsubmitted sexual assault kits. Bode Technology Group will test the remaining unsubmitted sexual assault kits in Salt Lake County.	We will continue efforts to encourage local law enforcement agencies to turn their unsubmitted sexual assault kits into the crime lab for testing. Part of the efforts will include hiring a full time victim advocate and investigator to check in with the individual law enforcement agencies monthly, encourage participation in the monthly multi-disciplinary meetings, and address concerns identified by local law enforcement. The state crime lab will be moving into a new facility in the next month. We will invite and encourage local law enforcement to tour the new crime lab and ask questions related to future processing of kits. Additionally, we are planning a press conference after the SAKI advocate and investigator are hired to solicit the communities support in testing all sexual assault kits. We also plan to support efforts this legislative session to pass a bill to test all sexual assault kits in Utah. Additionally, we have prepared another batch of over 500 unsubmitted sexual assault kits to be turned into Bode Technology Group to begin testing.
2	Establish a multi-disciplinary working group to identify the underlying factors that contribute to unsubmitted sexual assault kits and devise a comprehensive plan to inventory, track, and maintain accountability for the sexual assault kits.	In progress	In October 2015 the Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice formed the Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kit (USAK) multi-disciplinary working group to address the factors contributing to unsubmitted sexual assault kits and to work together to create protocols and drive policy changes related to sexual assault investigations and prosecutions. The USAK working group meets monthly. Since October 2015, three subcommittees have been working to address the problem of unsubmitted sexual assault kits; policy, victim notification, and tracking. In the last six months the tracking subcommittee has worked to assess the tracking needs of the state, evaluate the different processes in place throughout the state relating to sexual assault kits, identify and assess existing options for tracking systems, and begin developing a plan to develop a tracking system in Utah. Part of the plan includes attempting to get legislation passed this year to mandate testing of all sexual assault kits as well as a mandate for a state tracking system. The tracking system will be built into an existing law enforcement portal that marries with a separate system for victim's and forensic nurses to enter information about sexual assault kits. We are currently working on a financial proposal.	In the next six months we plan to continue monthly multi-disciplinary meetings, which have been very successful, however one of the unintended consequences of our monthly unsubmitted sexual assault kit meetings is it's impact on attendance with the Salt Lake County Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) meetings. We have begun discussions with the local SART team to begin a transition process to combine the monthly multi-disciplinary Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kit (USAK) meeting with the monthly SART meeting. Before the transition takes place, we need to have conversations with the many community partners attending both meetings. We plan to begin the transition in April 2017. We plan to continue to offer trainings in the next six months. Some training topics include Cold Case Sexual Assault Case Reviews, A Crime Lab Operations Tour, Victim Notification Protocol, Utilizing the SAKI Investigator and Victim Advocate, and The Impact of Legislative Session on Sexual Assault Professionals and Victims. Additionally, we will continue subcommittee meetings for the tracking system. We expect to have the cost analysis back from the Utah Department of Technology Services and begin efforts to create a tracking system in Utah.
3	Improve the	In	In the last six months we began creating a Case Review	In the next six months we plan to finalize the cold case

investigation and prosecution of sexual assault kit cases through the adoption of improved protocols, technology, and management systems.	progress	process to determine which cold cases would be re-opened and investigated, identify trends related to sexual assault kit accumulation, identify training needs, help us to collect performance measure data for the grants and data to drive needed legislation, aid in identifying serial sex offenders, and provide guidance to local law enforcement before victim notification and the investigation process. The review process has been tested on 27 cases. We are currently in the process of evaluating the data from the 27 cases with three different law enforcement agencies (large, medium, and small sized) and the process with the SAKI evaluator to improve the overall process.	review process, provide training on the new review process, and begin implementing the new process. With the new process we plan to increase the number of cases we review every month, reduce the amount of time each case review takes, and continue to assess and evaluate data, trends. We will continue to encourage feedback to improve the case review process. Additionally, we are close to completing our victim notification protocol, which will be shared with all law enforcement agencies in Salt Lake County and provide training on the protocol at our monthly meetings.
4 Develop victim notification protocols and evaluate efficacy to enhance victim services and support victims of sexual assault.	In progress	As previously mentioned, we are close to finalizing our victim notification protocol. Plans to finalize, distribute and present on the protocol should take effect in the next 6 months. We have experienced some delays in completing the protocol as a result of not having the SAKI Justice Advocate hired. We have completed interviews for the SAKI Justice Advocate. The selected candidate is now completing her background check. She should start in the next few weeks. When hired, the SAKI Justice Advocate will take the lead on the Victim Notification Protocol Subcommittee, establish a Victim Information Hotline, and establish the Victim Therapeutic Treatment Fund. We expect to see an increased amount of progress related to efforts to support victims in the next six months.	When hired, the SAKI Justice Advocate will take the lead on the Victim Notification Protocol Subcommittee, establish a Victim Information Hotline, and establish the Victim Therapeutic Treatment Fund. We expect to see an increased amount of progress related to efforts to support victims in the next six months.

Other Goals and Objectives Measures (Reporting Period: Oct 2016 - Dec 2016)

Option	Text Response(s)
Did you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical assistance provider? A list of technical assistance providers can be found at https://www.bjatraining.org/ . Check all that apply.	
A. Yes, we received assistance B. Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance C. No	A. Yes, we received assistance
If yes to A or B above, please explain:	
D. If Yes, please explain	D. We participated in monthly check in phone calls with Rose, our training and technical assistance contact. I have emailed Rose several times with questions pertaining to the SAKI project. Rose also helped us coordinate and facilitate a SART training with Dr. Linda LeDray and her team with RTI in December. It was a successful training.
Based on your knowledge of the criminal justice field, are there any innovative programs/success stories that you would like to share with BJA?	
A.	A. Not at this time. We hope to be able to share success stories with BJA in the next 6 months as we review, investigate and hopefully have more cases filed for prosecution.

Performance Measures

Performance measures data for the GMS report period are displayed below. Only sections with reported data are shown. "Cumulative Total" includes both quarters represented in this report and any other data reported on previous GMS reports since the start of the award.

Grant Activities

Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Option Response Totals
1. Was there grant activity during the reporting period?			
A. Yes B. No	A. Yes B. -	A. Yes B. -	A. 2 B. 0
2. For this initiative, have you appointed a dedicated site coordinator?			
A. If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. Name C. Phone number D. E-mail address E. If no, please explain:	A. If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. April Ensign C. 801-538-1062 D. aensign@utah.gov E. -	A. If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. April Ensign C. 801-538-1062 D. aensign@utah.gov E. -	A. 2 B. - C. - D. - E. 0
Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Cumulative Total
3. Please enter the approximate percentage of funds allocated to each category below.			
BJA Award (this award) Inventory All Associated Testing Costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs) Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services	BJA Award (this award) 0 0 0 All Other 0 0 0	BJA Award (this award) 80% 4% 95% All Other 20% 68% 5%	BJA Award (this award) 0 0 0 All Other 0 0 0
All Other Inventory All Associated Testing Costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs) Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services	TOTAL 0 If other, list names of other sources: 0	TOTAL 100 If other, list names of other sources: State of Utah/FBI/NIJ	TOTAL - If other, list names of other sources: 0
TOTAL Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services If other, list names of other sources:			

Input Numeric Value

Baseline

Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Cumulative Total
1. Was an inventory of unsubmitted SAKs started prior to the grant being awarded? Only select Yes if you started your SAK inventory prior to receiving grant funds.		
A. No	A. 1	A. 1

Inventory and Tracking

Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Cumulative Total
7. Please enter the number of SAKs which fit in each category below for the reporting period.			
Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period). Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period). 0 0	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period). 0 0	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period). - 0
Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs? 0 0	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs? 0 0	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as unsubmitted SAKs? - 0
Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs? 0 0	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs? 0 0	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs? - 0
Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing? 0 0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing? 0 0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing? - 0
Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing? 0 0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing? 0 0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing? - 0

<p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing?</p> <p>During this reporting period</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to need DNA testing</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)</p> <p>Cumulative (since start of award)</p> <p>During this reporting period</p>	<p>determined to need DNA testing</p> <p>0 0</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>0 2</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)</p> <p>0 122</p>	<p>testing?</p> <p>0 0</p> <p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to need DNA testing</p> <p>0 0</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>0 0</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)</p> <p>0 0</p>	<p>testing?</p> <p>- 0</p> <p>Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to need DNA testing</p> <p>- 0</p> <p>Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were sent out for DNA testing</p> <p>- 2</p> <p>How many kits have been tested to completion (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)</p> <p>- 122</p>
<p>8. During the reporting period, how many unsubmitted SAKs were determined to not need testing for each of the following reasons.</p>			
<p>A. Not able to retrieve evidence</p> <p>B. Evidence contained in SAK would not impact investigation or prosecution</p> <p>C. Statute of limitations</p>	<p>A. 0 B. 0 C. 0 D. 0 E. -</p>	<p>A. 0 B. 0 C. 0 D. 0 E. -</p>	<p>A. 0 B. 0 C. 0 D. 0 E. 0</p>

D. Other E. If other, please explain				
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Option Response Totals	
9. During the reporting period, were DNA profiles from forensic analysis uploaded into CODIS from SAKs submitted for testing?				
A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, how many?	A. Yes B. - C. 83	A. Yes B. - C. 302	A. 2 B. 0 C. -	
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Cumulative Total	
10. Of the total number of DNA profiles uploaded into CODIS during the reporting period (identified in question 9), how many of the following confirmed hits were recorded? (If no hits were confirmed, enter "0". If a sample has hit to multiple cases, enter number of cases hit)				
<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits) Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index) Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index) Number of hits during the reporting period</p>	<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits) 12</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index) 0</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index) 12</p>	<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits) 0</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index) 0</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index) 0</p>	<p>CODIS Hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits) 12</p> <p>Forensic Hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index) 0</p> <p>Offender/Arrestee Hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index) 12</p>	
11. During the reporting period, enter the number of cases where the CODIS hit(s) identified a different offender than who was originally named or prosecuted in the case. A suspect may be in the case file via name with no DNA profile obtained. A previously untested kit may yield a new hit on a person that was not named in the original case file. Enter "0" if no cases have emerged.				

<p>A. Number of cases that identified a different offender than who was originally named or prosecuted.</p> <p>B. Number of exonerations that have occurred from CODIS hits naming a new suspect(s) on a previous case.</p>	<p>A. 0 B. 0</p>	<p>A. - B. -</p>	<p>A. 0 B. 0</p>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

12. Of the total number of CODIS hits (identified in question 10A), how many hits fit in the following categories?

<p>Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes. Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p> <p>Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. Remember: do not count the same state twice. Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes. Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p>	<p>Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 1</p> <p>Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. Remember: do not count the same state twice. Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 1</p>	<p>Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 0</p> <p>Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. Remember: do not count the same state twice. Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 0</p>	<p>Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.</p> <p>- 1</p> <p>Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. Remember: do not count the same state twice. Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.</p> <p>- 1</p>
---	---	---	---

13. Of the number of **Offender/Arrestee Hits** during the reporting period identified in question 10C, how many fit each of the following categories?

<p>Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p>	<p>Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p>	<p>Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p>	<p>Cold Hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p>
---	---	---	---

<p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Serial Sex Offender: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were for a Serial Sex Offender (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p>Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of non-sexual offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p>	<p>7</p> <p>Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>5</p> <p>Serial Sex Offender: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were for a Serial Sex Offender (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>3</p> <p>Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of non-sexual offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>9</p>	<p>case</p> <p>0</p> <p>Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>0</p> <p>Serial Sex Offender: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were for a Serial Sex Offender (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>0</p> <p>Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of non-sexual offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>0</p>	<p>case</p> <p>7</p> <p>Warm Hits: when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>5</p> <p>Serial Sex Offender: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were for a Serial Sex Offender (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>3</p> <p>Non-Sex Offender Hits: Out of the Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of non-sexual offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>9</p>
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
14. During the reporting period, what factors contributed to successfully submitting SAKs or improving your submission of SAKs? Select all that apply.			
A. N/A Have not completed inventory	A. - B. -	A. - B. -	A. 0 B. 0

B. Effective in-house records management system	C. Proper protocols in place	C. Proper protocols in place	C. 2
C. Proper protocols in place	D. Coordination with departmental leadership	D. Coordination with departmental leadership	D. 2
D. Coordination with departmental leadership	E. -	E. -	E. 0
E. Sufficient amount of staff available	F. -	F. -	F. 0
F. Sufficient amount of laboratory staff available	G. Effective in-house Training	G. -	G. 1
G. Effective in-house Training	H. Access to money and/or other resources	H. -	H. 1
H. Access to money and/or other resources	I. -	I. -	I. 0
I. Diligent community-based victim services	J. Understanding and responding to victim trauma	J. -	J. 1
J. Understanding and responding to victim trauma	K. -	K. Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques	K. 1
K. Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques	L. -	L. -	L. 0
L. Understanding the importance of properly handling and testing SAKs	M. -	M. -	M. 0
M. If other, please explain			

15. During the reporting period, what factors does your jurisdiction see as contributing to unsubmitted SAKs? Select all that apply.

A. Input Numeric Value	A. -	A. -	A. -
B. Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations	B. Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations	B. -	B. 1
C. Employees would benefit from more training	C. -	C. -	C. 0
D. New and improved protocols needed	D. New and improved protocols needed	D. -	D. 1
E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response	E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response	E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response	E. 2
F. Need for additional/increased ties with community-based victim services	F. -	F. -	F. 0
G. Need for a better evidence tracking system	G. -	G. -	G. 0
H. Chronic instability in departmental leadership	H. -	H. -	H. 0
I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits	I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits	I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits	I. 2
J. If other, please explain	J. -	J. -	J. 0

16. Were any cases forwarded for investigation related to the SAKs tested during the reporting period? **Include any cases opened/reopened because of the results of testing SAKs.**

A. Yes B. No C. If yes, how many cases were forwarded for investigation	A. Yes B. - C. 7	A. - B. - C. -	A. 1 B. 0 C. -
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Cumulative Total
17. During the reporting period, enter the number of victims located, contacted, or agreeing to participate (associated with previously unsubmitted SAK) as a result of the SAKI.			
A. Number of Victims located (have found where victim resides) B. Of those located, how many Victims were contacted C. Number of victims determined to be deceased D. Of those contacted, how many agreed to actively participate in a new investigation resulting from the SAKI	A. 4 B. 2 C. 0 D. 1	A. 5 B. 4 C. - D. 2	A. 9 B. 6 C. 0 D. 3
18. How many SAKI cases were forwarded for prosecution during the reporting period?			
• Number	• 2	• 10	• 12
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
20. What case elements did the working group consider when prioritizing cases during the reporting period? Select all that apply.			
A. N/A have not broached B. N/A not prioritizing (e.g. forklift approach) C. Age of victim D. Victim/victim's family cooperation E. Public safety concerns F. Statute of limitations G. DNA of known offender H. Other/new evidence and/or witnesses have come to light (not SAK related) I. Other	A. - B. - C. - D. - E. Public safety concerns F. - G. - H. - I. -	A. - B. - C. Age of victim D. - E. Public safety concerns F. Statute of limitations G. - H. - I. -	A. 0 B. 0 C. 1 D. 0 E. 2 F. 1 G. 0 H. 0 I. 0
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Cumulative Total
21. Please enter the number of cases which fit in each category below for the reporting period.			
How many cases were charged? Cumulative (since start of	How many cases were charged? 0	How many cases were charged?	How many cases were charged?

award) During this reporting period	2	0	-
Had the charges dismissed?	Had the charges dismissed?	Had the charges dismissed?	Had the charges dismissed?
Had the charges dismissed?	0	0	2
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	-
During this reporting period	0	0	0
Resulted in a plea bargain?	Resulted in a plea bargain?	Resulted in a plea bargain?	Resulted in a plea bargain?
Resulted in a plea bargain?	0	0	-
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	0
During this reporting period	0	0	-
Ended with a conviction following a trial?	Ended with a conviction following a trial?	Ended with a conviction following a trial?	Ended with a conviction following a trial?
Ended with a conviction following a trial?	0	0	0
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	-
During this reporting period	0	0	0
Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?
Ended with an acquittal?	0	0	-
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	0
During this reporting period	0	0	-
Ended in mistrial?	Ended in mistrial?	Ended in mistrial?	Ended in mistrial?
Ended in mistrial?	0	0	0
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	-
During this reporting period	0	0	0
Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?
Ended with an acquittal?	0	0	-
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	0
During this reporting period	0	0	-
Ended in mistrial?	Ended in mistrial?	Ended in mistrial?	Ended in mistrial?
Ended in mistrial?	0	0	-
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	0
During this reporting period	0	0	-
Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
22. During the reporting period, were there cases where prosecution was denied? (e.g., case was deemed to have insufficient evidence)?			
A. Yes	A. -	A. Yes	A. 1
B. No	B. No	B. -	B. 1
C. If Yes, how many times during the reporting period did this occur?	C. -	C. 3	C. -

23. During the reporting period, please select the reasons prosecution was denied. Select all that apply.

A. Victims declined to participate	A. -	A. Victims declined to participate	A. 1
B. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	B. -	B. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	B. 1

24. Have you publicly shared any progress or success stories during this reporting period?

A. Yes	A. Yes	A. -	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. No	B. 1
C. If Yes, provide the link to your progress or success story	C. A website has been created, where quarterly reports are posted, https://justice.utah.gov/Violence/saki.html .	C. -	C. -

Measure	Text Response(s)
---------	------------------

25. Are there any other ways you are sharing information about this effort with the public?

	<p>(01 Oct 2016 - 31 Dec 2016)</p> <p>Monthly progress is shared at the multi-disciplinary SAKI meetings, which are open to the public. The SAKI Site Coordinator also spoke at a public meeting held at a Salt Lake City library to discuss SAKI's progress and support legislation to mandate testing of all sexual assault kits.</p> <p>(01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016)</p> <p>We are currently working on a website to share information with the public. We also meet monthly with our multi-disciplinary team in Salt Lake County and quarterly with our statewide multi-disciplinary team where we share the information. When all of our SAKI team members are hired, we plan to have a press release.</p>
--	--

Working Group and Partners

Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Option Response Totals
26. Do you have an established regularly convening multidisciplinary working group ?			
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 2
B. No	B. -	B. -	B. 0
C. If no, please explain:	C. -	C. -	C. -
28. How often did your multidisciplinary working group meet during the reporting period? Check the one option that best applies.			
A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. 2
B. Other	B. -	B. Other	B. 1
C. If other, please explain	C. -	C. We have a regular monthly meeting. We met in October and November, however due to the December holidays, we we did not hold a meeting in December.	C. -
29. During the reporting period, did your working group identify any other entities, groups, organizations, or programs (e.g. private sector entities such as evidence tracking providers) that were not on the working group ?			
A. No	A. No	A. No	A. 2
Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Cumulative Total

31. Please enter the total number of active and new partners participating in the SAKI during the reporting period.

Number participating in the working group	Number participating in the working group	Number participating in the working group	Number participating in the working group
Number of ACTIVE partners during the reporting period	0	31	31
Number of NEW partners during the reporting period	0	3	3
Number of victim advocacy partners	Number of victim advocacy partners	Number of victim advocacy partners	Number of victim advocacy partners
Number of ACTIVE partners during the reporting period	0	5	5
Number of NEW partners during the reporting period	0	1	1

Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Option Response Totals
----------------	---	---	-------------------------------

32. How would you rate the following **working group** partners based on the statement "This partner is actively involved in the program."

A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)		A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)	A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	4. 0
5. Agree		5. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. Strongly Agree	6. 1
B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)		B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)	B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	4. 0
5. Agree		5. Agree	5. 1
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	6. 0
C. Federal law enforcement agencies		C. Federal law enforcement agencies	C. Federal law enforcement agencies
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	4. 0
5. Agree		5. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	6. 0
D. State law enforcement agencies		D. State law enforcement agencies	D. State law enforcement agencies
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	4. 0
5. Agree		5. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. Strongly Agree	6. 1
E. Local law enforcement agencies (including		E. Local law enforcement agencies (including	E. Local law enforcement agencies (including

5. Agree		detectives/investigators)	detectives/investigators)
6. Strongly Agree		1. -	1. 0
E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. Strongly Agree	6. 1
5. Agree		F. Crime victim/witness services	F. Crime victim/witness services
6. Strongly Agree		1. -	1. 0
F. Crime victim/witness services		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. Agree	5. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		G. Pretrial service organizations	G. Pretrial service organizations
6. Strongly Agree		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
G. Pretrial service organizations		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		H. U.S. Attorney's Office	H. U.S. Attorney's Office
6. Strongly Agree		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
H. U.S. Attorney's Office		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		I. Prosecution	I. Prosecution
6. Strongly Agree		1. -	1. 0
I. Prosecution		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. Agree	5. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		J. Public defender/indigent defense	J. Public defender/indigent defense
6. Strongly Agree		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
J. Public defender/indigent defense		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		K. Courts	K. Courts
6. Strongly Agree		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
K. Courts		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)
6. Strongly Agree		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
L. Community corrections (probation/parole)		2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree		5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		M. Corrections	M. Corrections
6. Strongly Agree		1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
M. Corrections		2. -	2. 0

L. Community corrections (probation/parole)		3. -	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		4. -	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		5. -	5. 0
3. Disagree		6. -	6. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	N. Health care providers	1. -	N. Health care providers
5. Agree	2. -	2. -	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree	3. -	3. -	2. 0
M. Corrections	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. 1
1. NA/Not Tracked	5. -	5. 0	5. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	6. -	6. 0	6. 0
3. Disagree	O. Mental health care providers	1. -	O. Mental health care providers
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	2. -	1. 0
5. Agree	3. -	3. -	2. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. 0
N. Health care providers	5. -	5. 0	4. 1
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	6. 0	5. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	P. Substance abuse treatment providers	1. 1	P. Substance abuse treatment providers
3. Disagree	2. 0	2. 0	1. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. 0	3. 0	2. 0
5. Agree	4. 0	4. 0	3. 0
6. Strongly Agree	5. 0	5. 0	4. 0
O. Mental health care providers	6. 0	6. 0	5. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	Q. Child protective services	1. NA/Not Tracked	Q. Child protective services
2. Strongly Disagree	2. 0	2. -	1. 1
3. Disagree	3. 0	3. -	2. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. 0	4. -	3. 0
5. Agree	5. 0	5. -	4. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. 0	6. -	5. 0
P. Substance abuse treatment providers	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	1. -	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. 0	2. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. 0	3. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	4. 0	4. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. 1	5. Agree	4. 0
5. Agree	6. 0	6. -	5. 1
6. Strongly Agree	S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	1. -	S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)
Q. Child protective services	2. 0	2. -	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	3. 0	3. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	4. 0	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. 0
3. Disagree	5. 0	5. -	4. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	6. 0	6. -	5. 0
5. Agree	T. Faith-based organizations	1. NA/Not Tracked	T. Faith-based organizations
6. Strongly Agree	2. 0	2. -	1. 1
R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	3. 0	3. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	4. 0	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	5. 0	5. -	4. 0
3. Disagree	6. 0	6. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	U. Subject matter experts	1. -	U. Subject matter experts
5. Agree	1. 0	2. -	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree	2. 0	3. -	2. 0
S. Community groups (e.g.,		4. -	
		5. -	
		6. -	

neighborhood watch, community center)		U. Subject matter experts	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 1
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations
5. Agree		5. Agree	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	2. 0
T. Faith-based organizations		V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 1
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)
5. Agree		5. Agree	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	2. 0
U. Subject matter experts		W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)
5. Agree		5. -	1. 1
6. Strongly Agree		6. Strongly Agree	2. 0
V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations		X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. NA/Not Tracked	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies
5. Agree		5. -	1. 1
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	2. 0
W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)		Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. NA/Not Tracked	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	Z. Forensic Laboratories
5. Agree		5. -	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	2. 0
X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)		Z. Forensic Laboratories	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses
5. Agree		5. -	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. Strongly Agree	2. 0
Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies		AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses	3. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	4. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	5. 0
3. Disagree		3. -	6. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	AB. Other
5. Agree		5. -	1. 0
6. Strongly Agree		6. Strongly Agree	2. 0
Z. Forensic Laboratories		AB. Other	3. 0
1. -		1. -	4. 0
2. -		2. -	5. 0
3. -		3. -	6. 1
4. -		4. -	AC. If other please explain
5. -		5. -	o 0

<p>6. Strongly Agree</p> <p>Z. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree <p>AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree <p>AB. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA/Not Tracked 2. Strongly Disagree 3. Disagree 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree 5. Agree 6. Strongly Agree <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Other 		<p>6. Strongly Agree</p> <p>AC. If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Victim Rights Attorneys 	
---	--	---	--

33. Please select which working group partner is doing which role in the initiative. Mark all that apply:

<p>A. Inventorying the SAKs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. Investigator 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. Other <p>B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. Investigator 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. Other <p>C. Collecting information from SAKs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. Investigator 5. Prosecutors 6. Victim advocates 7. Other <p>D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 		<p>A. Inventorying the SAKs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. - 5. - 6. - 7. - <p>B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. - 5. - 6. - 7. - <p>C. Collecting information from SAKs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Law enforcement 3. Lab Personnel 4. - 5. - 6. - 7. - <p>D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 	<p>A. Inventorying the SAKs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 2. 1 3. 1 4. 0 5. 0 6. 0 7. 0 <p>B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 2. 1 3. 1 4. 0 5. 0 6. 0 7. 0 <p>C. Collecting information from SAKs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 2. 1 3. 1 4. 0 5. 0 6. 0 7. 0 <p>D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 2. 0
--	--	--	--

3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
F. Recommending victim services	F. Recommending victim services	F. Recommending victim services
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 1
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
G. Helping victims understand the court process	G. Helping victims understand the court process	G. Helping victims understand the court process
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 1
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims	H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims	H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 1
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
7. Other	7. -	7. 0
I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case	I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case	I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case
1. NA	1. NA	1. 1
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 1
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 1
7. Other	7. -	7. 0

Policies, Procedures, and Protocols

Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
32. For each of the following policies/procedures please indicate if it has been established, it is under development, or has not yet been established/developed.			

- A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.)
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. No
- F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable.
 - 1. NA
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under

- A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking
 - 1. -
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. -
 - 4. -
- B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information
 - 1. -
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. -
 - 4. -
- C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services
 - 1. -
 - 2. -
 - 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
 - 4. -
- D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources
 - 1. -
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. -
 - 4. -
- E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.)
 - 1. -
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. -
 - 4. -
- F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable.
 - 1. -
 - 2. Yes
 - 3. -
 - 4. -
- G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained

Development

4. No

G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process

- 1. NA
- 2. Yes
- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
- 4. No

H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)

- 1. NA
- 2. Yes
- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
- 4. No

I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).

- 1. NA
- 2. Yes
- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
- 4. No

J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group

- 1. NA
- 2. Yes
- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
- 4. No

K. Other

- 1. NA
- 2. Yes

through the SAK testing process

- 1. -
- 2. Yes
- 3. -
- 4. -

H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)

- 1. -
- 2. -
- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
- 4. -

I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).

- 1. -
- 2. -
- 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development
- 4. -

J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group

- 1. -
- 2. Yes
- 3. -
- 4. -

K. Other

- 1. NA
- 2. -
- 3. -
- 4. -

3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No If other please explain ◦ Other			
--	--	--	--

33. What information are you collecting from the SAKs? Select all that apply.

A. Victim Sex, Age, Race B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years) D. Victim-Suspect relationship E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved G. Was a weapon used H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam I. If other, please explain	A. Victim Sex, Age, Race B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years) D. Victim-Suspect relationship E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved G. Was a weapon used H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam I. -	A. Victim Sex, Age, Race B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years) D. Victim-Suspect relationship E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved G. Was a weapon used H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam I. -	A. 2 B. 2 C. 2 D. 2 E. 2 F. 2 G. 2 H. 2 I. 0
--	---	---	--

34. Please indicate the development status for the following resources for victims and victim service providers as of the last day of the reporting period.

A. FAQ brochures 1. NA 2. Completed 3. Currently drafting 4. Plan on developing B. Packet of community resources 1. NA 2. Completed 3. Currently drafting 4. Plan on developing C. Flyers 1. NA 2. Completed 3. Currently drafting 4. Plan on developing D. Training materials 1. NA 2. Completed 3. Currently drafting 4. Plan on developing E. Other 1. NA 2. Completed 3. Currently drafting 4. Plan on developing If other please explain ◦ Other	A. FAQ brochures 1. NA 2. - 3. - 4. - B. Packet of community resources 1. NA 2. - 3. - 4. - C. Flyers 1. NA 2. - 3. - 4. - D. Training materials 1. NA 2. - 3. - 4. - E. Other 1. NA 2. - 3. - 4. -		
---	---	--	--

35. During the reporting period, did you share resources developed for victims with any of the following partners and/or other groups?

A. Victims 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No B. Victim Advocacy Groups	A. Victims 1. NA 2. - 3. - B. Victim Advocacy Groups		
---	--	--	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>H. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. Yes 3. No <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Other 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - <p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - <p>H. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NA 2. - 3. - 		
---	---	--	--

36. Do you have an electronic tracking system?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Yes B. No C. If yes, when was it implemented (Month/Year) D. If no, please explain: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. - B. No C. - D. Our IT Department reviewed the Portland, SAMS data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. - B. No C. - D. A request for proposal has been drafted and sent t 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 0 B. 2 C. - D. -
---	--	--	--

37. How often did your program conduct the following activities during the reporting period?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly <p>B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly <p>C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage 1. - 2. - 3. - 4. Monthly 5. - <p>B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. - 4. Monthly 5. - <p>C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)</p>		
--	--	--	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly <p>D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media outreach)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly <p>E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly <p>F. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Other 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. - <p>D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media outreach)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. - 4. Monthly 5. - <p>E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. - 2. - 3. - 4. Monthly 5. - <p>F. Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. - 		
--	---	--	--

38. Are you or a partner conducting an evaluation of the SAKI program?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, please provide the following contact information for the person conducting the evaluation. D. Name E. E-mail address F. Phone number 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Yes B. - C. - D. Dr. Heather Melton E. heather.melton@soc.utah.edu F. 801-581-3108 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Yes B. - C. - D. Dr. Heather Melton E. heather.melton@soc.utah.edu F. 801-581-3108 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 2 B. 0 C. - D. - E. - F. -
---	--	--	--

Training

Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Option Response Totals
39. Was training conducted for the working group members during the reporting period?			
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 2
40. During the reporting period, which of the following topics were covered in training sessions for the working group members? Select all that apply.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Appropriate evidence collection techniques B. Value of forensic evidence C. Victimization and trauma 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. - B. Value of forensic evidence C. - D. - 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Appropriate evidence collection techniques B. Value of forensic evidence C. Victimization and trauma 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. 2 C. 1 D. 1

response training D. Community/public relations E. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices F. Training to optimize victim notification protocols G. If other, please explain	E. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices F. Training to optimize victim notification protocols G. -	response training D. Community/public relations E. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices F. Training to optimize victim notification protocols G. Victim Rights	E. 2 F. 2 G. 1
Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Cumulative Total
41. How many working group members were trained during the reporting period?			
A. Law enforcement supervisors B. Detectives/Investigators C. Sexual assault nurse examiners/Forensic nurses D. Forensic lab personnel E. Prosecutors F. Community-based victim advocates G. System-based victim advocates H. Other	A. 8 B. 6 C. 3 D. 6 E. 3 F. 3 G. 7 H. 9	A. 8 B. 10 C. 7 D. 8 E. 6 F. 12 G. 20 H. 20	A. 16 B. 16 C. 10 D. 14 E. 9 F. 15 G. 27 H. 29

TTA Contact

Measure	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Option Response Totals
42. Did your program receive any Technical Assistance from a BJA funded TTA provider during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
43. What was the nature of the contact with the BJA funded TTA provider during the reporting period? Select all that apply:		
A. Phone call/email B. Other	A. Phone call/email B. Facilitated SART Training	A. 1 B. 1
44. On average, how often did you have contact with the BJA TTA provider during the reporting period?		
A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. 1
45. How satisfied are you with the BJA TTA engagement during this reporting period?		
A. Satisfied	A. Satisfied	A. 1

Budget and Employment

Measure	Reporting Period: Jul - Sep 2016	Reporting Period: Oct - Dec 2016	Cumulative Total
46. During the reporting period, how many NEW positions were created using BJA-program funds?			
A. Full-time positions B. Part-time positions	A. 0 B. 0	A. 0 B. 1	A. 0 B. 1
	Reporting Period:	Reporting Period:	

Measure	Jul - Sep 2016	Oct - Dec 2016	Option Response Totals
47. During the reporting period, were BJA-program funds used to fund overtime?			
A. Yes B. No	A. - B. No	A. - B. No	A. 0 B. 2

Grantee Comments

No comments entered