

## GMS Report Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) 2015-AK-BX-K003 Report Period: Jan 2017 - Jun 2017

The following report covers grantee reported activity for grant number 2015-AK-BX-K003 awarded to Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice for the period 01 Oct 2015 - 30 Jun 2017. The award, in the amount of \$1,999,680.00, was issued as part of the BJA FY 15 SAKI solicitation. Any funds reported only represent an estimate of dollars allocated or used for activities covered by this award.

This report covers 2 reporting period(s) of data, represented as follows:

- Jan - Mar 2017
- Apr - Jun 2017

### Project Description

The National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) provides funding to state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies, and prosecutor's offices to support multidisciplinary community response teams engaged in the comprehensive reform of jurisdictions' approaches to sexual assault cases resulting from evidence found in previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits (SAKs) - i.e. those SAKs that have never been submitted to a crime laboratory. The goal of the SAKI is the creation of a coordinated community response that ensures just resolution to these cases whenever possible through a victim-centered approach, as well as to build jurisdictions' capacity to prevent the development of conditions that lead to high numbers of unsubmitted SAKs in the future. The holistic program provides jurisdictions with resources to address their unsubmitted SAK issue, including support to inventory, test, and track SAKs; create and report performance metrics; access necessary training to increase effectiveness in addressing the complex issues associated with these cases and engage in multidisciplinary policy development, implementation, and coordination; and improve practices related to investigation, prosecution, and victim engagement and support in connection with evidence and cases resulting from the testing process. The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice will use this 2015 SAKI award to reform the handling of sexual assault cases in Salt Lake County, Utah, by establishing a multidisciplinary, victim-centered approach to resolve cases from unsubmitted SAKs, and developing community measures to prevent future collections of unsubmitted SAKs. The recipient will establish a multidisciplinary working group, The Salt Lake County Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kit (USAK) Working Group, to develop comprehensive strategies to track, investigate and prosecute all sexual assault cases stemming from DNA analysis of previously USAKs. The USAK will verify the 2014 inventory of unsubmitted SAKs; establish guidelines for SAK evidence destruction; track the unsubmitted SAKs; test the unsubmitted SAKs; identify challenges related to testing SAKs; identify solutions to improve efficiency of DNA screening and analysis; produce protocols and policies to support improved coordination between all agencies involved in sexual assault cases; establish resources for investigations and prosecutions resulting from testing the previously unsubmitted SAKs; establish resources to optimize and support victim notification protocols and services; and develop a tracking system linking data on SAKs from UBFS, SANEs, law enforcement, and prosecutors to improve coordination of all services while allowing victims full access to upload information about their SAKs and cases. CA/NCF

### Grantee

The grantee indicated the award had grant activity during the report period. Performance data can be found in the "Performance Measures" section. Narrative information for the award can be found in the "Grantee Comments" and "Goals and Objectives" sections.

### Award Synopsis

The following table displays whether the grantee was operational, not operational, or closed out during the report period.

Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017

	Operational	Not Operational	Closed Out
<b>Grantee</b>	Yes		No

Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017

	Operational	Not Operational	Closed Out
<b>Grantee</b>	Yes		No

# Goals & Objectives

The following goals and objectives were entered by the grantee during the report period.

Direct Grantee: (Reporting Period: Apr 2017 - Jun 2017)

#	Goal	Status	Progress & Barriers	Planned Activities
1	Reduce the number of unsubmitted sexual assault kits by implementing a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary plan to inventory, test, and track unsubmitted sexual assault kits through final adjudication.	In progress	Of the 1,750 previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits inventoried in June 2016, 1,727 have been turned into the crime lab for testing, leaving only 23 outstanding. 980 previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits have been tested to completion. In this reporting period an additional 500 previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits were sent to the vendor, BODE, for DNA testing. During this reporting period we hired our SAKI Victim Advocate, who has helped to increase contacts with local law enforcement agencies and system based and community based victim advocates. Additionally, the Utah Crime Lab relocated to a brand new facility this reporting period. We have encouraged and provided opportunities for all multi-disciplinary professionals to tour the new facility to learn more about the crime lab's testing capabilities. To track the progress of each previously unsubmitted sexual assault kit, The SAKI Site Coordinator created an excel database. The excel database is temporarily being used to track the progress of each previously unsubmitted sexual assault kit as it moves through the system.	The SAKI team will continue efforts to encourage local law enforcement agencies to turn in unsubmitted sexual assault kits. Also in the next six months, the Utah crime lab expects to receive another batch of previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits back from vendor laboratory to continue with CODIS technical reviews. A grant management system is currently being developed to track the progress of each previously unsubmitted sexual assault kit. The grant management system is an electronic database, which will improve data collection, tracking, and reporting.
2	Establish a multi-disciplinary working group to identify the underlying factors that contribute to unsubmitted sexual assault kits and devise a comprehensive plan to inventory, track, and maintain accountability for the sexual assault kits.	In progress	In October 2015, CCJJ formed the Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kit (USAK) multi-disciplinary working group to address the factors contributing to unsubmitted sexual assault kits and to work together to create protocols and drive policy changes related to sexual assault investigations and prosecutions. The USAK working group created three sub-committees to develop our victim notification protocol, create a tracking system, and review submit all/test all sexual assault kit policies. In the last six months, the victim notification protocol was finalized. It is currently being used by law enforcement agencies to perform victim notifications. A copy of our Victim Notification Protocol was shared with RTI and has been used as a model for other SAKI sites. The sexual assault kit tracking system sub-committee is now meeting monthly, led by the Utah Department of Public Safety and the Utah Department of Technology to create a state-wide tracking system. Utah's House Bill 200 mandated the tracking system to increase transparency about the process of testing sexual assault kits for victims. Also in the last six months Utah's House Bill 200 mandated the testing of all sexual assault kits (excluding restricted kits). The policy was reviewed and discussed many times by the multi-disciplinary team. Multi-disciplinary discussions aided in the drafting and successful passage of House Bill 200. One participant commented that the multi-disciplinary collaboration of our team was one of the best, if not the best, she has ever seen. Additionally, the USAK team merged with Salt Lake County's local Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) in April 2017. Many of the same individuals were attending both meetings and many attendees were having a difficult time making it to 2 meetings a month for sexual assault. The SAKI team now coordinates monthly with the SART team to prepare for the monthly multi-disciplinary meetings. While the meetings are now merged, we have agreed to keep the content separate to ensure SART addresses current cases, while USAK addresses cold cases. It has been a smooth transition and we have received positive feedback from the group.	The USAK team will continue to meet monthly for the next six months. At the meetings, information will be shared about the progress of testing, investigations, and prosecutions of previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits. Trainings will be provided and gaps in the system will be discussed. Some training topics include: Introduction to the Sexual Assault Kit Tracking System, Utilizing the SAKI Investigator, and How to Inform Victims a Kit has Been Destroyed. We also plan to do a full case analysis on a fully adjudicated cold case stemming from a previously unsubmitted sexual assault kit, begin focus groups with the evaluator, establish guidelines for identifying a restricted kit, finalizing a victim rights notification brochure, and prepare for the 2018 legislative session to increase funding to the crime lab and toxicology lab.

<p>3 Improve the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault kit cases through the adoption of improved protocols, technology, and management systems.</p>	<p>In progress</p>	<p>In August 2016, the SAKI team developed a case review process with each of the 11 law enforcement agencies in Salt Lake County. A data sharing MOU was drafted and is signed by CCJJ and each law enforcement agency as the law enforcement agencies are ready for case reviews. To date, the multi-disciplinary committee has reviewed 78 cases stemming from the previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits with 6 different local law enforcement agencies in Salt Lake County. Dr. Melton, the SAKI Evaluator, began conducting surveys at the end of each case review session during this reporting period. The feedback from the surveys has been overwhelmingly positive from all disciplines involved. Through the SAKI case reviews, the team has identified gaps in the system related to sexual assault investigations. 1. There is a need to fund forensic nurses to attend multi-disciplinary meetings. Currently forensic nurses are only compensated for the actual completion of forensic exams. Unfortunately, this means many forensic nurses either have to volunteer their time to attend multi-disciplinary meetings or they simply do not attend. 2. There is a need for additional resources in local law enforcement agencies. Many agencies have seen an increase in reporting rates (as high as 30% in the last three years) and it has been difficult to make time for cold case investigations of previously unsubmitted sexual assault kits. 3. Communication between the Utah Toxicology Lab and local law enforcement agencies needs improvement and the state Toxicology lab needs additional support from the legislature to keep up with the demand to complete toxicology testing on substance facilitated sexual assaults. The case reviews have also allowed us to gain a deeper understanding of each discipline's role, establish guidelines for re-activating cold cases, provide a multi-disciplinary assessment of each case's potential to be investigated and prosecuted, provide recommendations for victim notification, and track SAKI performance measures. Because of the value and success of the case review process, we now recommend all cases be reviewed after results return from the crime lab and before victims are notified.</p>	<p>The SAKI team will continue with case reviews and identify gaps and improvements needed. To address the current gaps in the system, the SAKI team has completed a grant modification to compensate forensic nurses for attending SAKI related activities. We expect the grant modification will be approved in the next couple of weeks and a sub-grant will be awarded to Wasatch Forensic Nurses in the next quarter. Additionally, the SAKI team has advocated to increase staffing levels in law enforcement agencies and coordinated one local law enforcement's participation in the Sexual Assault Unit Assessment with RTI. Two local law enforcement agencies have received approval to increase staffing levels in their investigative units. Efforts to advocate for increased staffing levels will continue in the next 6 months and the Sexual Assault Unit Assessment will begin. We have also started including our toxicology lab in our multi-disciplinary meetings to increase communication between the toxicology lab and local law enforcement agencies. We are also assisting the toxicology lab to obtain information about law enforcement's experience with substance facilitated sexual assaults in an effort to support a building block funding request through the Utah Legislature in the 2018 legislative session. In the next six months the SAKI team plans to continue efforts to engage the remaining 5 local law enforcement agencies in case reviews. All of the agencies have verbally agreed to participate. Some have to wait for their legal team to approve to the MOU, one is unable to participate at the time due to demands in their current case loads and two have not received reports from the crime lab. Also in the next six months the SAKI team will create a committee to address cold case investigative best practices led by the SAKI investigator. The SAKI Legal Trainer will also begin presenting to line investigators in each of the local law enforcement agencies in Salt Lake County to train them on the Victim Notification Protocol. Additionally, we have a grant adjustment pending to send representatives from local law enforcement agencies and prosecutors to the National Sexual Assault Kit Summit to improve investigation and prosecution efforts in Salt Lake County.</p>
<p>4 Develop victim notification protocols and evaluate efficacy to enhance victim services and support victims of sexual assault.</p>	<p>In progress</p>	<p>The SAKI Victim Advocate was hired in February 2017. The SAKI Victim Advocate led the Victim Notification Sub-Committee and was instrumental in helping the committee finalize the Salt Lake County Victim Notification Protocol. The protocol was reviewed by all disciplines in Salt Lake County, the Joyful Heart Foundation, and RAINN. The protocol was finalized in April 2017. The Salt Lake County Victim Notification Protocol recommends each case be reviewed by the SAKI case review team prior to victim notification. The SAKI case review team makes recommendations about which cases have potential to be investigated and prosecuted. Due to resource constraints, only victim's whose cases are re-activated for investigation will be notified. However, the SAKI Victim Advocate has developed a Sexual Assault Kit Information Line for victim's to inquire about the status of their sexual assault kits. Our first tabling event was in June to promote the Victim Information Line. The Sexual Assault Kit Information line is currently being promoted through the media. 5 victims have contacted the information line since promotional efforts began. Lastly, a Victim Therapeutic Treatment Fund has been established for victims affected by the victim notification process.</p>	<p>The SAKI Legal Trainer will engage each of the local law enforcement agencies in Salt Lake County to begin formal trainings on the notification protocol in the next 30 days with the six agencies participating in SAKI case reviews. The SAKI advocate will participate in the trainings with the SAKI Legal Trainer and offer to assist with notifications as needed. We currently have a grant modification pending to promote the Sexual Assault Kit Information Line. If approved, funding will be allocated to promote the information line through bus advertisements, tabling opportunities, billboards, posters, radio ads, etc. The SAKI team will continue to work with local news media to promote the Victim Information Line through stories and campaigns. The SAKI team has also established a Facebook page and has partnered with the Utah Coalition Against Sexual Assault to table at community events.</p>

Other Goals and Objectives Measures (Reporting Period: Apr 2017 - Jun 2017)

Option	Text Response(s)
--------	------------------

Did you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical assistance provider? A list of technical assistance providers can be found at <https://www.bjatrain.org/>. Check all that apply.

- A. Yes, we received assistance
- B. Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance
- C. No

A. Yes, we received assistance

If yes to A or B above, please explain:

D. If Yes, please explain

D. Our federal grant manager, Mila Hago, has been extremely helpful with program changes and budget modifications. Rose and Crystal with RTI have been also very helpful. They assisted one of our local law enforcement agencies to begin participating in the Sexual Assault Unit Assessment. Rose and Crystal also helped to share our Victim Notification Protocol with the Joyful Heart Foundation and RAINN for feedback. The current SAKI Site Coordinator, April Ensign, is relocating to California and Krystal Hazlett will be taking over as the SAKI Site Coordinator in July 2017. Rose and Crystal assisted with the transition to ensure the Salt Lake County SAKI project does not experience backslide.

Based on your knowledge of the criminal justice field, are there any innovative programs/success stories that you would like to share with BJA?

A.

A. No

## Performance Measures

Performance measures data for the GMS report period are displayed below. Only sections with reported data are shown. "Cumulative Total" includes both quarters represented in this report and any other data reported on previous GMS reports since the start of the award.

### Grant Activities

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
1. Was there grant activity during the reporting period?			
A. Yes B. No	A. Yes B. -	A. Yes B. -	A. 2 B. 0
2. For this initiative, have you appointed a dedicated site coordinator?			
A. If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. Name C. Phone number D. E-mail address E. If no, please explain:	A. If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. April Ensign C. 801-538-1062 D. aensign@utah.gov E. -	A. If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone and email) for the site coordinator B. Krystal Hazlett C. 801-538-1088 D. khazlett@utah.gov E. -	A. 2 B. - C. - D. - E. 0
Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Cumulative Total
3. Please enter the approximate <b>percentage</b> of funds allocated to each category below.			
BJA Award (this award) Inventory All Associated Testing Costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs) Working Group,	BJA Award (this award)  80% 4% 95%  All Other	BJA Award (this award)  80% 4% 95%  All Other	BJA Award (this award)  0 0 0  All Other

Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services	20%	20%	0
	68%	68%	0
All Other	5%	5%	0
Inventory All Associated Testing Costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs)	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services	100	100	-
	If other, list names of other sources:	If other, list names of other sources:	If other, list names of other sources:
	State of Utah/FBI/NIJ	State of Utah/FBI/NIJ	0
TOTAL			
Working Group, Investigations, Prosecutions, Victim Services			
If other, list names of other sources:			
Input Numeric Value			

Baseline

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Cumulative Total
1. Was an inventory of unsubmitted SAKs started prior to the grant being awarded? <b>Only select Yes if you started your SAK inventory prior to receiving grant funds.</b>			
A. No	A. 1	A. 1	A. 2

Inventory and Tracking

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Cumulative Total
7. Please enter the number of SAKs which fit in each category below for the reporting period.			
Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period). Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period).  0 0	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period).  0 0  Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</b>	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKS inventoried during the reporting period).  - 0
Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</b> Cumulative	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</b>  0	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</b>  0 0  Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as previously tested SAKs?</b>	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as unsubmitted SAKs?</b>  - -

(since start of award)	0	0	0
During this reporting period	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as previously tested SAKs?</b>	0	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as previously tested SAKs?</b>
Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>identified as previously tested SAKs?</b>	0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined not to require DNA testing?</b>	-
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	0
During this reporting period	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined not to require DNA testing?</b>	0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined not to require DNA testing?</b>
Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined not to require DNA testing?</b>	0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>	-
During this reporting period	0	0	0
Cumulative (since start of award)	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>	0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>
Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>	0	274	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>
During this reporting period	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>	0	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	How many kits have been <b>tested to completion</b> (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)	-
Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were <b>determined to need DNA testing?</b>	0	0	0
Cumulative (since start of award)	Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, <b>how many were sent out for DNA testing?</b>	0	Out of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, <b>how many were sent out for DNA testing?</b>
During this reporting period	0	0	-
Cumulative (since start of award)	102	0	376
Of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, <b>how many were sent out for DNA testing?</b>	How many kits have been <b>tested to completion</b> (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)	0	How many kits have been <b>tested to completion</b> (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)
Cumulative (since start of award)	0	0	-
During this reporting period	48	0	48
Cumulative (since start of award)	48	0	48
How many kits have been <b>tested to completion</b> (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)	How many kits have been <b>tested to completion</b> (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)	0	How many kits have been <b>tested to completion</b> (i.e. a final laboratory report has been submitted)
Cumulative (since start of award)	48	0	48
During this reporting period	48	0	48
Cumulative (since start of award)	48	0	48

During this reporting period			
8. During the reporting period, how many unsubmitted SAKs were determined to not need testing for each of the following reasons.			
A. Not able to retrieve evidence	A. 0 B. 0	A. 0 B. 0	A. 0 B. 0
B. Evidence contained in SAK would not impact investigation or prosecution	C. 0 D. 0 E. -	C. 0 D. 0 E. -	C. 0 D. 0 E. 0
C. Statute of limitations			
D. Other			
E. If other, please explain			
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017</b>	<b>Option Response Totals</b>
9. During the reporting period, were DNA profiles from forensic analysis uploaded into CODIS from SAKs submitted for testing?			
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. -	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. No	B. 1
C. If Yes, how many?	C. 24	C. -	C. -
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017</b>	<b>Cumulative Total</b>
10. Of the total number of DNA profiles uploaded into CODIS during the reporting period (identified in question 9), how many of the following confirmed hits were recorded? (If no hits were confirmed, enter "0". If a sample has hit to multiple cases, enter number of cases hit)			
<p><b>CODIS Hits</b> (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p>	<p><b>CODIS Hits</b> (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)</p> <p>17</p>	<p><b>CODIS Hits</b> (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)</p> <p>0</p>	<p><b>CODIS Hits</b> (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)</p> <p>17</p>
<p><b>Forensic Hits:</b> the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index)</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p>	<p><b>Forensic Hits:</b> the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index)</p> <p>1</p>	<p><b>Forensic Hits:</b> the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index)</p> <p>0</p>	<p><b>Forensic Hits:</b> the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (i.e. the match is to a sample in the forensic index)</p> <p>1</p>
<p><b>Offender/Arrestee Hits:</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the</p>	<p><b>Offender/Arrestee Hits:</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index)</p>	<p><b>Offender/Arrestee Hits:</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index)</p> <p>0</p>	<p><b>Offender/Arrestee Hits:</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (i.e. the match is to a sample in the offender index)</p> <p>1</p>

offender index) Number of hits during the reporting period	16		16
11. During the reporting period, enter the number of cases where the CODIS hit(s) identified a different offender than who was originally named or prosecuted in the case. A suspect may be in the case file via name with no DNA profile obtained. A previously untested kit may yield a new hit on a person that was not named in the original case file. Enter "0" if no cases have emerged.			
A. Number of cases that identified a different offender than who was originally named or prosecuted. B. Number of exonerations that have occurred from CODIS hits naming a new suspect(s) on a previous case.	A. 1 B. 0	A. - B. -	A. 1 B. 0
12. Of the total number of CODIS hits (identified in question 10A), how many hits fit in the following categories?			
<p><b>Hits in other state(s):</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes. Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p> <p><b>Number of states where hits have occurred:</b> enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. <b>Remember: do not count the same state twice.</b> Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes. Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period</p>	<p><b>Hits in other state(s):</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 0</p> <p><b>Number of states where hits have occurred:</b> enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. <b>Remember: do not count the same state twice.</b> Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 0</p>	<p><b>Hits in other state(s):</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 0</p> <p><b>Number of states where hits have occurred:</b> enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. <b>Remember: do not count the same state twice.</b> Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.</p> <p>0 0</p>	<p><b>Hits in other state(s):</b> the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include Federal hits as a state for counting purposes.</p> <p>- 0</p> <p><b>Number of states where hits have occurred:</b> enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. <b>Remember: do not count the same state twice.</b> Include state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits- for counting purposes.</p> <p>- 0</p>
13. Of the number of <b>Offender/Arrestee Hits</b> during the reporting period identified in question 10C, how many fit each of the following categories?			
<b>Cold Hits:</b> when the			

<p>DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p><b>Warm Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p><b>Serial Sex Offender:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were for a <b>Serial Sex Offender</b> (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p> <p><b>Non-Sex Offender Hits:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of <b>non-sexual offenses</b> (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>Number of hits during the reporting period</p>	<p><b>Cold Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p> <p>11</p> <p><b>Warm Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>5</p> <p><b>Serial Sex Offender:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were for a <b>Serial Sex Offender</b> (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>3</p> <p><b>Non-Sex Offender Hits:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of <b>non-sexual offenses</b> (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>13</p>	<p><b>Cold Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p> <p>0</p> <p><b>Warm Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>0</p> <p><b>Serial Sex Offender:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were for a <b>Serial Sex Offender</b> (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>0</p> <p><b>Non-Sex Offender Hits:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of <b>non-sexual offenses</b> (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>0</p>	<p><b>Cold Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case</p> <p>11</p> <p><b>Warm Hits:</b> when the DNA hits to a known/listed suspect in the case (an offender's profile may or may not have been uploaded to CODIS).</p> <p>5</p> <p><b>Serial Sex Offender:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were for a <b>Serial Sex Offender</b> (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender, in separate events)?</p> <p>3</p> <p><b>Non-Sex Offender Hits:</b> Out of the <b>Previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees</b>, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of <b>non-sexual offenses</b> (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?</p> <p>13</p>
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period:</b> Jan - Mar 2017	<b>Reporting Period:</b> Apr - Jun 2017	<b>Option Response Totals</b>
14. During the reporting period, what factors contributed to successfully submitting SAKs or improving your submission of SAKs? Select all that apply.			
A. N/A Have not completed	A. -	A. -	A. 0

inventory	B. -	B. -	B. 0
B. Effective in-house records management system	C. Proper protocols in place	C. -	C. 1
C. Proper protocols in place	D. Coordination with departmental leadership	D. Coordination with departmental leadership	D. 2
D. Coordination with departmental leadership	E. -	E. -	E. 0
E. Sufficient amount of staff available	F. -	F. -	F. 0
F. Sufficient amount of laboratory staff available	G. -	G. -	G. 1
G. Effective in-house Training	H. Effective in-house Training	H. -	H. 0
H. Access to money and/or other resources	I. -	I. -	I. 0
I. Diligent community-based victim services	J. -	J. -	J. 0
J. Understanding and responding to victim trauma	K. -	K. Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques	K. 1
K. Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques	L. -	L. Understanding the importance of properly handling and testing SAKs	L. 1
L. Understanding the importance of properly handling and testing SAKs	M. -	M. -	M. 0
M. If other, please explain			

15. During the reporting period, what factors does your jurisdiction see as contributing to unsubmitted SAKs? Select all that apply.

A. Input Numeric Value	A. -	A. -	A. -
B. Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations	B. Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations	B. -	B. 1
C. Employees would benefit from more training	C. Employees would benefit from more training	C. -	C. 1
D. New and improved protocols needed	D. -	D. -	D. 0
E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response	E. Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response	E. -	E. 1
F. Need for additional/increased ties with community-based victim services	F. -	F. -	F. 0
G. Need for a better evidence tracking system	G. -	G. -	G. 0
H. Chronic instability in departmental leadership	H. -	H. -	H. 0
I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits	I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits	I. Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits	I. 2
J. If other, please explain	J. -	J. -	J. 0

16. Were any cases forwarded for investigation related to the SAKs tested during the reporting period? **Include any cases opened/reopened because of the results of testing SAKs.**

A. Yes	A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 2
B. No	B. -	B. -	B. 0

C. If yes, how many cases were forwarded for investigation	C. 12	C. 5	C. -
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017</b>	<b>Cumulative Total</b>
17. During the reporting period, enter the number of victims located, contacted, or agreeing to participate (associated with previously unsubmitted SAK) as a result of the SAKI.			
A. Number of Victims located (have found where victim resides)	A. 11 B. 7 C. 0	A. 4 B. 4 C. 0	A. 15 B. 11 C. 0
B. Of those located, how many Victims were contacted	D. 5	D. 4	D. 9
C. Number of victims determined to be deceased			
D. Of those contacted, how many agreed to actively participate in a new investigation resulting from the SAKI			
18. How many SAKI cases were forwarded for prosecution during the reporting period?			
• Number	• 10	• 2	• 12
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017</b>	<b>Option Response Totals</b>
20. What case elements did the working group consider when prioritizing cases during the reporting period? Select all that apply.			
A. N/A have not broached	A. -	A. -	A. 0
B. N/A not prioritizing (e.g. forklift approach)	B. -	B. -	B. 0
C. Age of victim	C. -	C. -	C. 0
D. Victim/victim's family cooperation	D. -	D. -	D. 0
E. Public safety concerns	E. -	E. Public safety concerns	E. 1
F. Statute of limitations	F. Statute of limitations	F. -	F. 1
G. DNA of known offender	G. -	G. -	G. 0
H. Other/new evidence and/or witnesses have come to light (not SAK related)	H. -	H. -	H. 0
I. Other	I. -	I. -	I. 0
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017</b>	<b>Cumulative Total</b>
21. Please enter the number of cases which fit in each category below for the reporting period.			
How many cases were charged? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	How many cases were charged?  0 3	How many cases were charged?  0 4  Had the charges dismissed?  0 0	How many cases were charged?  - 7  Had the charges dismissed?

Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	0 0	Resulted in a plea bargain?	- 0
Resulted in a plea bargain?	Resulted in a plea bargain?	0 1	Resulted in a plea bargain?
Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	0 0	Ended with a conviction following a trial?	- 1
Ended with a conviction following a trial?	Ended with a conviction following a trial?	0 0	Ended with a conviction following a trial?
Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	0 0	Ended with an acquittal?	- 0
Ended with an acquittal?	Ended with an acquittal?	0 0	Ended with an acquittal?
Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	0 0	Ended in mistrial?	- 0
Ended with an acquittal?	Ended in mistrial?	0 0	Ended in mistrial?
Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period	0 0		- 0
Ended in mistrial? Cumulative (since start of award) During this reporting period			
<b>Measure</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017</b>	<b>Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017</b>	<b>Option Response Totals</b>
22. During the reporting period, were there cases where prosecution was denied? (e.g., case was deemed to have insufficient evidence)?			
A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, how many times during the reporting period did this occur?	A. Yes B. - C. 1	A. Yes B. - C. 1	A. 2 B. 0 C. -
24. During the reporting period, please select the reasons prosecution was denied. Select all that apply.			
A. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	A. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	A. Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence	A. 2
25. Have you publicly shared any progress or success stories during this reporting period?			
A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, provide the link	A. - B. No C. -	A. Yes B. - C. <a href="http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-news/new-">http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-news/new-</a>	A. 1 B. 1 C. -

to your progress or success story	all-encompassing-sexual-assault-kit-information-line-opens-in-utah/769427578 - <a href="http://www.deseretnews.com/article/865685126/Sex-assault-victims-have-new-advocate-hotline-for-tracking-rape-kits.html">http://www.deseretnews.com/article/865685126/Sex-assault-victims-have-new-advocate-hotline-for-tracking-rape-kits.html</a> - <a href="http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-news/state-federal-funding-helping-tackle-sexual-assault-in-ut/694033620">http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-news/state-federal-funding-helping-tackle-sexual-assault-in-ut/694033620</a>
-----------------------------------	--

Measure	Text Response(s)
26. Are there any other ways you are sharing information about this effort with the public?	(01 Apr 2017 - 30 Jun 2017) Updates are made monthly on the Salt Lake County SAKI website: <a href="https://justice.utah.gov/Violence/saki.html">https://justice.utah.gov/Violence/saki.html</a> . The public is able to see our monthly meeting minutes and quarterly progress reports. The Utah crime lab does a press release every quarter.

Working Group and Partners

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
26. Do you have an established regularly convening multidisciplinary <b>working group</b> ?			
A. Yes B. No C. If no, please explain:	A. Yes B. - C. -	A. Yes B. - C. -	A. 2 B. 0 C. -

28. How often did your multidisciplinary <b>working group</b> meet during the reporting period? Check the one option that best applies.			
A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. Monthly	A. 2
29. During the reporting period, did your working group identify any other entities, groups, organizations, or programs (e.g. private sector entities such as evidence tracking providers) that were <b>not on the working group</b> ?			
A. No	A. No	A. No	A. 2

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Cumulative Total
31. Please enter the total number of active and new partners participating in the SAKI during the reporting period.			
Number participating in the working group	Number participating in the working group	Number participating in the working group	Number participating in the working group
Number of ACTIVE partners during the reporting period	47	53	100
Number of NEW partners during the reporting period	18	5	23
Number of victim advocacy partners	Number of victim advocacy partners	Number of victim advocacy partners	Number of victim advocacy partners
Number of ACTIVE partners during the reporting period	10	11	21
Number of NEW partners during the reporting period	7	0	7

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
---------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------

32. How would you rate the following **working group** partners based on the statement "This partner is actively involved in the program."

<p>A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>C. Federal law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>D. State law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>F. Crime victim/witness services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>G. Pretrial service organizations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> <li>2. Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>H. U.S. Attorney's Office</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA/Not Tracked</li> </ol>	<p>A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>C. Federal law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>D. State law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>F. Crime victim/witness services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>G. Pretrial service organizations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>H. U.S. Attorney's Office</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. Disagree</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>I. Prosecution</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> </ol>	<p>A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>C. Federal law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>D. State law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. Strongly Agree</li> </ol> <p>E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>F. Crime victim/witness services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. Agree</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>G. Pretrial service organizations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> <li>5. -</li> <li>6. -</li> </ol> <p>H. U.S. Attorney's Office</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. Neither Agree nor Disagree</li> </ol>	<p>A. State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> <li>5. 0</li> <li>6. 2</li> </ol> <p>B. Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 1</li> <li>4. 1</li> <li>5. 0</li> <li>6. 0</li> </ol> <p>C. Federal law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 1</li> <li>4. 1</li> <li>5. 0</li> <li>6. 0</li> </ol> <p>D. State law enforcement agencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> <li>5. 0</li> <li>6. 2</li> </ol> <p>E. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> <li>5. 2</li> <li>6. 0</li> </ol> <p>F. Crime victim/witness services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> <li>5. 2</li> <li>6. 0</li> </ol> <p>G. Pretrial service organizations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 1</li> <li>4. 1</li> <li>5. 0</li> <li>6. 0</li> </ol> <p>H. U.S. Attorney's Office</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 1</li> <li>4. 1</li> <li>5. 0</li> <li>6. 0</li> </ol> <p>I. Prosecution</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> </ol>
--	--	---	--

2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	6. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	I. Prosecution	4. 0
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. Strongly Agree	2. -	6. 2
I. Prosecution	J. Public defender/indigent defense	3. -	J. Public defender/indigent defense
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	6. Strongly Agree	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	J. Public defender/indigent defense	4. 1
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
J. Public defender/indigent defense	K. Courts	3. -	K. Courts
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	6. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	K. Courts	4. 1
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
K. Courts	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	3. -	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	6. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	4. 1
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	M. Corrections	3. -	M. Corrections
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	6. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	L. Community corrections (probation/parole)	4. 1
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
M. Corrections	N. Health care providers	3. -	N. Health care providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	6. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	M. Corrections	4. 0
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 1
6. Strongly Agree	6. Strongly Agree	2. -	6. 1
N. Health care providers	O. Mental health care providers	3. -	O. Mental health care providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	6. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	N. Health care providers	4. 2
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
O. Mental health care providers	P. Substance abuse treatment providers	3. -	P. Substance abuse treatment providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. Agree	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	6. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	O. Mental health care providers	4. 1
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
P. Substance abuse treatment	Q. Child protective services	3. -	Q. Child protective services
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	4. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	5. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. Disagree	6. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	P. Substance abuse treatment providers	4. 1
5. Agree	5. -	1. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	2. -	6. 0
R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing,		3. -	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing,
		4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	

providers	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	5. -	employment)
1. NA/Not Tracked		6. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree		Q. Child protective services	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	1. -	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	2. -	4. 1
5. Agree	3. Disagree	3. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	6. 0
Q. Child protective services	5. -		S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	6. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	1. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. Disagree	2. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	3. -	6. 1
R. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	5. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	T. Faith-based organizations
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	T. Faith-based organizations	6. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	1. -	4. 1
5. Agree	3. Disagree	2. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	3. -	6. 0
S. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	5. -	4. Strongly Agree	U. Subject matter experts
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	U. Subject matter experts	6. Strongly Agree	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	T. Faith-based organizations	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	1. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. -	2. -	5. 2
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	3. -	6. 0
T. Faith-based organizations	5. Agree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	6. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	U. Subject matter experts	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	1. -	4. 1
5. Agree	3. -	2. -	5. 1
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	3. -	6. 0
U. Subject matter experts	5. Agree	4. -	W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	5. Agree	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)	6. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	1. -	4. 0
5. Agree	3. -	2. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	3. -	6. 2
T. Faith-based organizations	5. Agree	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. -	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	6. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	1. -	W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	2. -	1. -	4. 1
5. Agree	3. Disagree	2. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	4. -	3. -	6. 0
U. Subject matter experts	5. -	4. Strongly Agree	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies
1. NA/Not Tracked	6. Strongly Agree	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	1. -	6. Strongly Agree	2. 0
3. Disagree	2. -	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	3. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. Disagree	1. -	4. 1
5. Agree	4. -	2. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	5. -	3. -	6. 0
V. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	6. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	Z. Forensic Laboratories
1. NA/Not Tracked	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	5. -	1. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	1. -	6. Strongly Agree	2. 0
3. Disagree	2. -	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. Disagree	1. -	
5. Agree	4. -	2. -	
6. Strongly Agree	5. -	3. -	
W. Researcher, evaluator, or Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)	6. -	4. -	
1. NA/Not Tracked	Z. Forensic Laboratories	5. -	
		6. Strongly Agree	
		X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	
		1. -	

2. Strongly Disagree	1. -	2. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	2. -	3. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	3. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. 0
5. Agree	4. -	5. -	6. 2
6. Strongly Agree	5. -	6. -	AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses
X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	1. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	3. -	4. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. 1
5. Agree	5. -	5. -	6. 1
6. Strongly Agree	6. Strongly Agree	6. -	AB. Other
Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	AB. Other	Z. Forensic Laboratories	1. 1
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. -	2. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2. -	3. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	3. -	4. 1
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4. -	5. 0
5. Agree	5. -	5. -	6. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6. Strongly Agree	AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses
Z. Forensic Laboratories		AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses	1. -
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	2. -
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	3. -
3. Disagree		3. -	4. -
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. -	5. Agree
5. Agree		5. Agree	6. -
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	AB. Other
AA. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners/Forensic Nurses		AB. Other	1. -
1. NA/Not Tracked		1. -	2. -
2. Strongly Disagree		2. -	3. -
3. Disagree		3. -	4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree		4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -
5. Agree		5. -	6. -
6. Strongly Agree		6. -	
AB. Other			
1. NA/Not Tracked			
2. Strongly Disagree			
3. Disagree			
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree			
5. Agree			
6. Strongly Agree			
If other please explain			
o Other			

33. Please select which working group partner is doing which role in the initiative. Mark all that apply:

A. Inventorying the SAKs			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2
3. Lab Personnel	3. Lab Personnel	3. Lab Personnel	3. 2
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
B. Submitting SAKs for forensic testing			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2

3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. Lab Personnel	3. 1
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
C. Collecting information from SAKs			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. Lab Personnel	3. 1
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. Other	7. 1
D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system	D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system	D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system	D. Entering status of SAKs into tracking system
1. NA	1. NA	1. NA	1. 2
2. Law enforcement	2. -	2. -	2. 0
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. -	6. -	6. 0
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)	E. Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing workgroup members)
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. Lab Personnel	3. 1
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. -	5. 0
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 2
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
F. Recommending victim services			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. -	5. Prosecutors	5. 1
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 2
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
G. Helping victims understand the court process			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. -	2. 1
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 2
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 2
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
H. Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0
5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 2
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 2
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0
I. Keeps victim(s) informed about their case			
1. NA	1. -	1. -	1. 0
2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. Law enforcement	2. 2
3. Lab Personnel	3. -	3. -	3. 0
4. Investigator	4. -	4. -	4. 0

5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. Prosecutors	5. 2
6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. Victim advocates	6. 2
7. Other	7. -	7. -	7. 0

Policies, Procedures, and Protocols

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
32. For each of the following policies/procedures please indicate if it has been established, it is under development, or has not yet been established/developed.			
A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No	A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	A. SAK Evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing and tracking 1. 0 2. 2 3. 0 4. 0
B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No	B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	B. Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information 1. 0 2. 2 3. 0 4. 0
C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No	C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	C. Victim engagement notification, information sharing and support services 1. 0 2. 2 3. 0 4. 0
D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No	D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	D. The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding, information sharing methods, and active engagement of community based victim advocacy resources 1. 0 2. 2 3. 0 4. 0
E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.) 1. NA 2. Yes 3. In Draft Form/ Under Development 4. No	E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.) 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.) 1. - 2. Yes 3. - 4. -	E. Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues; the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration; an active serial offender; etc.) 1. 0 2. 2 3. 0 4. 0
F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and	F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable. 1. - 2. Yes	F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable. 1. - 2. Yes	F. Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable. 1. 0 2. 2

<p>subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. No</li> </ol> <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. No</li> </ol> <p>H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. No</li> </ol> <p>I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. No</li> </ol> <p>J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. No</li> </ol> <p>K. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>K. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. In Draft Form/ Under Development</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol> <p>K. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> </ol> <p>G. The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 2</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> </ol> <p>H. Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.) Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim-centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices, etc.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 2</li> <li>4. 0</li> </ol> <p>I. Publically sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, Number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, Number of CODIS Hits to date, Number of cases prosecuted and outcomes).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 1</li> <li>4. 0</li> </ol> <p>J. How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 2</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> </ol> <p>K. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 1</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> </ol>
---	---	---	--

<p>Development</p> <p>4. No</p> <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Other</li> </ul>			
<p>33. What information are you collecting from the SAKs? Select all that apply.</p>			
<p>A. Victim Sex, Age, Race</p> <p>B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race</p> <p>C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years)</p> <p>D. Victim-Suspect relationship</p> <p>E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators</p> <p>F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved</p> <p>G. Was a weapon used</p> <p>H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam</p> <p>I. If other, please explain</p>	<p>A. Victim Sex, Age, Race</p> <p>B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race</p> <p>C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years)</p> <p>D. Victim-Suspect relationship</p> <p>E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators</p> <p>F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved</p> <p>G. Was a weapon used</p> <p>H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam</p> <p>I. -</p>	<p>A. Victim Sex, Age, Race</p> <p>B. Suspect Sex, Age, Race</p> <p>C. How long ago the assault occurred (in years)</p> <p>D. Victim-Suspect relationship</p> <p>E. If assault involved multiple perpetrators</p> <p>F. If alcohol and/or drugs were involved</p> <p>G. Was a weapon used</p> <p>H. Time between assault and medical forensic exam</p> <p>I. -</p>	<p>A. 2</p> <p>B. 2</p> <p>C. 2</p> <p>D. 2</p> <p>E. 2</p> <p>F. 2</p> <p>G. 2</p> <p>H. 2</p> <p>I. 0</p>
<p>34. Please indicate the development status for the following resources for victims and victim service providers as of the last day of the reporting period.</p>			
<p>A. FAQ brochures</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. Plan on developing</p> <p>B. Packet of community resources</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. Plan on developing</p> <p>C. Flyers</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. Plan on developing</p> <p>D. Training materials</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. Plan on developing</p> <p>E. Other</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. Plan on developing</p> <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Other</li> </ul>	<p>A. FAQ brochures</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>B. Packet of community resources</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>C. Flyers</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. Currently drafting</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>D. Training materials</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. Plan on developing</p> <p>E. Other</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. -</p>	<p>A. FAQ brochures</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>B. Packet of community resources</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>C. Flyers</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>D. Training materials</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>E. Other</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. Completed</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>4. -</p> <p>F. If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Sexual Assault Kit Information Line brochures &amp; Victim Therapeutic Treatment brochures.</li> </ul>	<p>A. FAQ brochures</p> <p>1. 0</p> <p>2. 1</p> <p>3. 1</p> <p>4. 0</p> <p>B. Packet of community resources</p> <p>1. 0</p> <p>2. 1</p> <p>3. 1</p> <p>4. 0</p> <p>C. Flyers</p> <p>1. 0</p> <p>2. 1</p> <p>3. 1</p> <p>4. 0</p> <p>D. Training materials</p> <p>1. 1</p> <p>2. 0</p> <p>3. 0</p> <p>4. 1</p> <p>E. Other</p> <p>1. 1</p> <p>2. 1</p> <p>3. 0</p> <p>4. 0</p> <p>F. If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o 0</li> </ul>
<p>35. During the reporting period, did you share resources developed for victims with any of the following partners and/or other groups?</p>			
<p>A. Victims</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. No</p> <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. No</p>	<p>A. Victims</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. No</p> <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. -</p> <p>3. No</p>	<p>A. Victims</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. -</p> <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <p>1. -</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. -</p>	<p>A. Victims</p> <p>1. 0</p> <p>2. 1</p> <p>3. 1</p> <p>B. Victim Advocacy Groups</p> <p>1. 0</p> <p>2. 1</p> <p>3. 1</p>

<p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>H. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>If other please explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Other</li> </ul>	<p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. No</li> </ol> <p>H. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol>	<p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol> <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol> <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol> <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol> <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol> <p>H. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NA</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> </ol>	<p>C. Law enforcement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 1</li> </ol> <p>D. Sexual Assault Forensic Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 1</li> </ol> <p>E. Forensic Laboratories</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 1</li> </ol> <p>F. Investigative Officers</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 1</li> </ol> <p>G. Prosecutors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 1</li> <li>3. 1</li> </ol> <p>H. Other</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 2</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> </ol>
--	---	--	---

36. Do you have an electronic tracking system?

<p>A. Yes</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. If yes, when was it implemented (Month/Year)</p> <p>D. If no, please explain:</p>	<p>A. -</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. -</p> <p>D. Utah's IT Department reviewed the Portland, SAMS d</p>	<p>A. -</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. -</p> <p>D. Utah's IT Department reviewed the Portland, SAMS d</p>	<p>A. 0</p> <p>B. 2</p> <p>C. -</p> <p>D. -</p>
--	---	---	---

37. How often did your program conduct the following activities during the reporting period?

<p>A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. Don't know</li> <li>3. Weekly</li> <li>4. Monthly</li> <li>5. Quarterly</li> </ol> <p>B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. Don't know</li> <li>3. Weekly</li> <li>4. Monthly</li> <li>5. Quarterly</li> </ol> <p>C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. Don't know</li> <li>3. Weekly</li> <li>4. Monthly</li> <li>5. Quarterly</li> </ol> <p>D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media</p>	<p>A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> </ol> <p>B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. Monthly</li> <li>5. -</li> </ol> <p>C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> </ol> <p>D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media</p>	<p>A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> </ol> <p>B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. -</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. Weekly</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> </ol> <p>C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Applicable</li> <li>2. -</li> <li>3. -</li> <li>4. -</li> <li>5. -</li> </ol> <p>D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media</p>	<p>A. Conduct analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 2</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> <li>5. 0</li> </ol> <p>B. Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 0</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 1</li> <li>4. 1</li> <li>5. 0</li> </ol> <p>C. Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 2</li> <li>2. 0</li> <li>3. 0</li> <li>4. 0</li> <li>5. 0</li> </ol> <p>D. Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, implemented focused media</p>
--	---	--	---

outreach) 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards) 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly F. Other 1. Not Applicable 2. Don't know 3. Weekly 4. Monthly 5. Quarterly If other please explain o Other	outreach) 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. - E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards) 1. - 2. - 3. - 4. Monthly 5. - F. Other 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. -	outreach) 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. - E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards) 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. - F. Other 1. Not Applicable 2. - 3. - 4. - 5. -	outreach) 1. 2 2. 0 3. 0 4. 0 5. 0 E. Participated in community engagement activities (e.g. roundtables, community advisory boards) 1. 1 2. 0 3. 0 4. 1 5. 0 F. Other 1. 2 2. 0 3. 0 4. 0 5. 0
--	---	--	---

38. Are you or a partner conducting an evaluation of the SAKI program?

A. Yes B. No C. If Yes, please provide the following contact information for the person conducting the evaluation. D. Name E. E-mail address F. Phone number	A. Yes B. - C. - D. Dr. Heather Melton E. heather.melton@soc.utah.edu F. 801-581-3108	A. Yes B. - C. - D. Dr. Heather Melton E. heather.melton@soc.utah.edu F. 801-581-3108	A. 2 B. 0 C. - D. - E. - F. -
---	--	--	--

Training

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
39. Was training conducted for the working group members during the reporting period?			
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 2
41. During the reporting period, which of the following topics were covered in training sessions for the working group members? Select all that apply.			
A. Value of forensic evidence B. Community/public relations C. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices D. Training to optimize victim notification protocols	A. Value of forensic evidence B. Community/public relations C. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices D. -	A. - B. - C. Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices D. Training to optimize victim notification protocols	A. 1 B. 1 C. 2 D. 1
Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Cumulative Total
42. How many working group members were trained during the reporting period?			
A. Law enforcement supervisors B. Detectives/Investigators C. Sexual assault nurse examiners/Forensic nurses D. Forensic lab personnel	A. 7 B. 7 C. 3 D. 7 E. 3	A. 8 B. 2 C. 4 D. 7 E. 3	A. 15 B. 9 C. 7 D. 14 E. 6

E. Prosecutors	F. 3	F. 3	F. 6
F. Community-based victim advocates	G. 7	G. 8	G. 15
G. System-based victim advocates	H. 12	H. 0	H. 12
H. Other			

TTA Contact

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
42. Did your program receive any Technical Assistance from a BJA funded TTA provider during the reporting period?			
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 2
43. What was the nature of the contact with the BJA funded TTA provider during the reporting period? Select all that apply:			
A. Phone call/email	A. Phone call/email	A. Phone call/email	A. 2
44. On average, how often did you have contact with the BJA TTA provider during the reporting period?			
A. Biweekly	A. -	A. Biweekly	A. 1
B. Monthly	B. Monthly	B. -	B. 1
45. How satisfied are you with the BJA TTA engagement during this reporting period?			
A. Satisfied	A. Satisfied	A. Satisfied	A. 2

Budget and Employment

Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Cumulative Total
46. During the reporting period, how many NEW positions were created using BJA-program funds?			
A. Full-time positions	A. 1	A. 0	A. 1
B. Part-time positions	B. 0	B. 0	B. 0
Measure	Reporting Period: Jan - Mar 2017	Reporting Period: Apr - Jun 2017	Option Response Totals
47. During the reporting period, were BJA-program funds used to fund overtime?			
A. Yes	A. -	A. -	A. 0
B. No	B. No	B. No	B. 2

## Grantee Comments

No comments entered